# LASTING CHANGE: SUSTAINING DIGITAL SCHOLARSHIP AND CULTURE IN CANADA



Andrew Bretz, Susan Brown and Hannah McGregor

Report of the Sustaining Digital Scholarship for Sustainable Culture Group:

Di Brandt, Andrew Bretz, Susan Brown, Lynn Copeland, Patricia Demers, Michael Eberle-Sinatra, Daniel Fischlin, Dean Irvine, Ashok Mathur, Hannah McGregor, Robyn Read, Susan Rudy, Stan Ruecker, Chantal Savoie, Ray Siemens, Stephen Slemon, Robin Sokoloski, Ron Walker, Darren Wershler, Ann Wilson

A Knowledge Synthesis on the Digital Economy funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

#### **DECEMBER 2010**

THE WORK (AS DEFINED BELOW) IS PROVIDED UNDER THE TERMS OF THIS CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL" OR "LICENSE"). THE WORK IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE LAW. ANY USE OF THE WORK OTHER THAN AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS LICENSE OR COPYRIGHT LAW IS PROHIBITED.

BY EXERCISING ANY RIGHTS TO THE WORK PROVIDED HERE, YOU ACCEPT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THIS LICENSE. TO THE EXTENT THIS LICENSE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE A CONTRACT, THE LICENSOR GRANTS YOU THE RIGHTS CONTAINED HERE IN CONSIDERATION OF YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

Please see Appendix 5 for further details.

# Lasting Change

# CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
Context	3
Value	4
Rubrics of Value	5
Innovation and Knowledge Mobilization	5
Scholarship and the cultural sector	6
Highly Qualified Personnel (HQP)	6
Generating Content; Preserving Heritage	7
Diversity in the Cultural Sector	8
Defining Sustainability	8
Preservation	10
National Metadata Standards	10
Distributed Digital Preservation Networks	11
Preserving Heterogeneous Data and Living Knowledge	11
Preservation Strategies	11
Intellectual Property: Rights, Credit and Control	13
Alternative models	13
Community Rights	14
Partnerships of Scholars and Artists	14
Openness	15
New Modes of Research Creation	17
When is Digital Scholarship "Done"?	17
Assessing Scholarly Value	18
Collaboration	18
Institutional Investments, Partnerships and Resourcing	20
Institutional Investments	20
Training Emerging Scholars and HQP	21

# Sustaining Digital Scholarship and Culture in Canada

Strategic Partnerships	21
Funding Models	23
Post-Publication Sustainability	23
Funding Partnerships	24
Training as Apprenticeship	24
The National Policy Gap	26
National Metadata Standards and Portal	26
National Tool Repository	26
National Sustainability Guidelines	27
Closing Summary	
Appendix 1: The Knowledge Synthesis Process	29
Appendix 2: The Research Team	
Appendix 3: Related Knowledge Synthesis Projects	
Appendix 4: Bibliography	
Appendix 5: License	

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report reflects the growing concern in the scholarly and cultural communities, and beyond, regarding the sustainability of Canada's digital knowledge and heritage. Canada's digital advantage is only of value if it can be carried into the future. Canadians must meet the challenge of preserving and enhancing scholarly and artistic knowledge production and our culture in a digital environment. This report reviews the current state of knowledge about the sustainability of digital scholarship and related cultural activity in Canada and identifies research opportunities that emerge from consideration of the literature.

The report concludes the following:

Economics and the Public Good: Digital scholarship and artistic creation make major contributions to the public good; they also produce economic spin-off effects that are insufficiently understood.
Challenges of Diversity: Sustaining contemporary culture and digital heritage will require a multifaceted approach that draws on the academic community to address cultural difference.
Shifting Ground: The pace of change creates major challenges and a need for agile, flexible responses to new developments on the part of both institutions and governments.
Policy Opportunities: Canada is well positioned to take a series of proactive steps towards achieving a

**Policy Opportunities**: Canada is well positioned to take a series of proactive steps towards achieving a position of leadership in relation to digital innovation, scholarship and the arts and humanities.

The report addresses the state of knowledge and the identification of knowledge gaps as follows:

#### VALUE

Digital humanities scholarship and creation sustains both the economic and the public good in Canada. It sustains the economic good by fostering innovation and knowledge mobilization, and by training highly qualified personnel with diverse intellectual and practical skills. Digital humanities scholarship sustains the public good by generating uniquely Canadian digital content while also preserving Canada's digital and documentary heritage. The arts and humanities provide sites from which to arrive at a nuanced understanding of sustainability in the digital age, to serve as a basis for a flexible model of digital heritage that reflects the richness and diversity of Canadian culture.

**Research Opportunities**: Cultural spillover of digital scholarship in Canada; links between scholarship, cultural activity and artistic creation; sustainable digital activities for environmental sustainability; digital media as a site of indigenous engagement.

#### PRESERVATION

Libraries, archives and cultural memory institutions are taking the lead in creating, aggregating and exposing digital repositories that not only preserve our cultural heritage for the long haul but make it accessible to a wide public. Sustainability of this knowledge resource must be central to national digital preservation strategies. Widespread mobilization of the content offers the best chance of preservation in a fragile, uncertain digital environment.

**Research Opportunities:** Long-term viability of open and distributed models of preservation; the development of a robust and reliable national digital preservation strategy; the development of a high-profile Canadian creative digital archive.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY: RIGHTS, CREDIT, CONTROL

Alternative rights protocols model partnerships among scholars, artists and cultural practitioners to provide sustainable and equitable principles of access. They seek to acknowledge and balance the needs aninvestments

of different sectors, and strive to foster a knowledge economy based on openness rather than a privatization of knowledge that results in the restriction of its dissemination.

**Research Opportunities:** The balance between credit and knowledge mobilization in the digital economy; the risks of privatizing cultural heritage; models of intellectual property rights that respect diverse interests; effective forms of remuneration for creative intellectual work in a digital economy; the promotion and circulation of scholarly and creative work.

#### NEW MODES OF RESEARCH CREATION

New forms of digital research reach larger audiences, push the boundaries between the academy and communities, and provide opportunities for partnerships and collaborations across traditionally isolated sectors and disciplinary boundaries. Their difference from traditional research, however, means they may not be adequately assessed by existing systems of scholarly evaluation.

**Research Opportunities**: Discrepancies between institutional rubrics of value and new forms of scholarship; modes of recognizing collaborative work on large-scale digital projects; the role of voluntary labour in sustaining scholarship; alternative forms of authorization and credit; means of bridging the gap between scholarly research and preservation.

#### INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENTS, PARTNERSHIPS AND RESOURCING

Academic institutions can support digital research creation by providing infrastructural support, policy-level support of open access practices and technical staff invested in humanities research. Emergent institutional frameworks contribute to producing citizens with diverse and adaptable skill sets.

**Research Opportunities**: Evaluation of models for cross-sector partnerships; forms of accrediting digital skills acquisition through experiential learning; assessment of different modes of institutional support for digital research and content creation.

#### FUNDING MODELS FOR SUSTAINABLE SCHOLARSHIP

Funding models must meet the particular needs of digital scholars, including fostering a range of partnerships beyond the academy and providing life-long learning to address the rapid shifts in digital technologies and practices.

**Research Opportunities:** The impact of funding models on research production and related cultural creation; the relationship between funding models and partnerships across institutional boundaries (libraries, archives, community and private sector); the role of sustainability in research programmes.

#### NATIONAL POLICY GAP

The move towards digital research in the humanities is inevitable, and strong national initiatives to support and ensure the quality of this work are central to building a globally competitive knowledge society. These include: a national digital preservation strategy, the fostering of strategic partnerships and greater support for innovative research creation and dissemination. A national metadata portal, a national tool repository and an articulation of a set of national sustainability standards and practices would have a major impact in providing a policy foundation to strengthen Canada's digital economy.

## CONTEXT

Canada's digital advantage requires a model for scholarly knowledge production and dissemination that recognizes the crucial role the digital environment plays in sustaining Canadian culture and the public good, and in generating research outputs — whether of exemplary content, software prototypes, or highly adaptive personnel — of major importance to the cultural sector and to private enterprise.

This is a review of what we know about sustaining scholarship and cultural creation within the digital ecosystem. A multidisciplinary and cross-sector team, ranging from academics and librarians to representatives of cultural groups, memory institutions and the private sector, produced this paper. We represent the partnership of the academic community with the broader cultural sector of creative practitioners, professional writers' groups and publishers. We span interests in current scholarly practice, cultural heritage and contemporary culture. While some articulations of the importance of the humanities omit the digital (Nussbaum 2010), this group sees the future of and indeed the hope for humanities scholarship and the arts to be intimately, inextricably, bound up with digital media and the digital economy. Many work in fields where a first generation of digital knowledge or creativity is imperilled, and we are well positioned to report on emergent solutions.

The quick pace of technological development together with the transformation of scholarship by digital media means that we cite here a wide range of sources ranging from academic books and journals to blogs, policy documents and online reports in an effort to capture the current state of knowledge and debate.

Canada is at a watershed moment in the shaping of Canadian culture. With each major shift in media, Canada has had a Royal Commission to consider the implications of the transition to new ICT (<u>1928-29</u>, <u>Aird</u>, <u>on Radio</u>; <u>1955</u>, <u>Fowler</u>, <u>on Broadcasting</u>; <u>1960-62</u>, <u>O'Leary</u>, <u>on Publications</u>; <u>1981</u>, <u>Kent</u>, <u>on Newspapers</u>). The digital revolution is having a much more swift and profound impact than any of these previous media. The vigour of the response to the Government's two-month-long consultation on the Digital Economy Strategy paper demonstrates the strong public interest in the relationship between research and sustainability: the Idea Forum's top three contributions were all related to knowledge production and open access to data.

History indicates that how we engage with digital technologies will have a major impact on national identity and national sovereignty (<u>Charland 1986</u>). <u>The Massey Commission of 1949</u> investigated the interrelation of science, humanities and culture, and in so doing laid the foundation for policies that underpin Canada's success as a knowledge producer and its hugely expanded cultural sector. However, the current situation is different in some key ways. The regulatory framework for Canadian culture has been transformed by increasing globalization and the shift to free trade (Jankowski 2009; Brydon 2010). Our population is more diverse than it was 60 years ago. Perhaps most significantly, Canada's recent endorsement of the <u>UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</u> carries with it major responsibilities with respect to sustaining and revitalizing the knowledge and culture of our First Nations (Articles 11, 15, 16). Along with the digital revolution, these major changes have produced gaps in knowledge and policy that present significant new opportunities for research that will have a major impact.

## VALUE

With respect to sustaining Canada's digital advantage, scholarship in the humanities produces research according to paradigms different from those of applied science or industry. In that difference lies the strength of complementarity. Investments in sustaining digital scholarship in the humanities have a high rate of return in terms of the public good, economic impacts, innovation and a labour force suited to the new economy.

A recent study conducted in the UK invited five disciplines to identify the impact of their research beyond the academy. Their results strongly suggest the many benefits of humanities research and creation, including partnerships with public institutions, valuable digital archive creation and impact on national literacy policy and international teaching standards. Humanities research, they concluded, "transforms the intellectual and cultural landscape, generates commercial capital and sustains citizenship and civil society" (Simons 2010). Similarly, the Lib-Value Project is a three-year research project proposing to assess quantitatively the return on investment of university libraries through a variety of measures, including the success rates of faculty grant proposals (Lib-Value Project 2010). Their findings thus far strongly suggest the level and quality of digital holdings at university libraries have a direct correlation to faculty grant success rates (Kaufman and Walter 2008). Both of these projects suggest that there is measurable value in the humanities and the cultural sector, and that further research is warranted.

Scholarship in the humanities and production of the arts in digital media encompass a huge range of activities, from the creation of highly authorized online content through the production of games and performance to the investigation of online behaviours or the creation of emergent materials such as electronic paper. The flexibility, creativity and diversity of this output is a vital component of the digital ecology (see McGann 2010a for a cross-section of digital scholarship), so is the frequent commitment to long-term sustainability and impact as a balance to the often more immediate goals of the private sector. This section will address the state of knowledge regarding the value of such scholarship in terms of:

- Rubrics of value that balance public good with economic good;
- The vital role of the humanities and creative arts in innovation and knowledge mobilization;
- The intrinsic but under-studied linkages between the academy and associated cultural activities, including both creation and consumption;
- The humanities as a site of training for highly qualified personnel;
- The ability of humanities scholarship to generate Canadian content and sustain Canadian heritage;
- The importance of an approach to the digital economy cognizant of cultural diversity;
- The nuanced conception of sustainability that emerges from humanities scholarship and the cultural sector.

## RUBRICS OF VALUE

The public good and the economic good are complementary components of Canada's digital advantage, and digital research and knowledge creation are vital to both. A 2008 report by the Conference Board of Canada stresses the intrinsic interrelation of the cultural sector and economic prosperity. The movement of Canada towards a knowledge-based economy only strengthens this link, particularly through the increasing reliance of both the economy and the cultural sector on digital media. In order to remain a competitive global presence, the report argues, Canada must maintain its thriving cultural sector.

Since we cannot decide in advance what applications may emerge from what knowledge, prioritizing instrumental research over arts and humanities is problematic. For instance, environmental scholar Robert McLeman, in studying "microbursts", aberrant weather conditions in rural Ontario (2009), in the absence of historical records, turned to Susanna Moodie's *Roughing It in the Bush*, a seminal work of Canadian literature, to find evidence that they had existed in the nineteenth century. The preservation and open electronic dissemination of such materials will ensure that such valuable products of the past are increasingly accessible for a wide range of anticipated and unanticipated uses (LAC 2001).

Studies attempting to assess the economic value of arts and cultural activities routinely admit the extent to which such activities cannot be measured in exclusively economic terms: the spin-off impacts of "quality of life" cannot be quantified, and yet clearly have economic and social value (Singh 2004; Melo 2002; Dayton-Johnson 2000). Digital knowledge and its dissemination offer improved quality of life for scholars, researchers, students, teachers and others, but measurements of this improvement are difficult to quantify through monetary measures or immediate payoffs such as the generation of patents or products. In economic terms, "consumption of domestic cultural products generate important and heretofore unrecognized externalities" or ancillary effects, though explicit valuation is not feasible. Where ancillary impacts have been tracked in relation to particular arts activities, public arts investments have been found to have a high rate of return (Sandhu 2006). The social cohesion contributed by cultural activities has also clearly documented a strong contribution to economic growth (Dayton-Johnson 2000; Arts Research Monitor). The quantifiable data alone, however, make it clear that the growing cultural sector is "indispensable" to the Canadian economy, contributing more than \$33 billion in GDP (about 3.8% of the total), on average, from 1996 to 2001 (Singh 2004). Moreover, when the impact of the cultural sector on other sectors of the economy is taken into account, the economic footprint is much larger, estimated at \$84.6 billion (7.4% of the GDP) in 2007 and responsible for 1.1 million jobs in Canada (The Conference Board of Canada 2008).

### INNOVATION AND KNOWLEDGE MOBILIZATION

An estimated 80% of online information takes the form of unstructured text. To transform this information into knowledge we require new ways of processing text. One of Canada's premier software corporations, <u>Open Text</u>, originated in a pioneering digital humanities project, the <u>Oxford English Dictionary</u>. XML, the pervasive technology for storing and manipulating online text, also has its origins in Tim Bray's work on the *OED* project and in work by Michael Sperberg-McQueen, a PhD in Comparative Literature from Stanford University. Universities have begun to collaborate on developing open-source software to their mutual benefit, pooling their resources to create truly innovative products often in partnership with commercial affiliates (<u>Butterman 2007</u>). Canada's digital edge is bound up with the future of research and development connected with textual processing, and the digital humanities, communications and media studies, literature and performance are all rich fields of new problems and methods.

Curiosity-driven scholarship is an important complement to private-sector R&D. A research project such as <u>Implementing the New Knowledge Environment</u> can experiment freely with tool creation and then move the

work over to the private sector, either through partnerships or through open-source development, for refinement and production. Spin-offs from university research and development contribute to economic growth (DiscoveryGarden, based on the UPEI library's innovation on the open-source Fedora repository software ["About Discovery Garden"]; Half-Baked Software, a University of Victoria spin-off ["Half-Baked Software"]). The academic community creates an invaluable knowledge pool for innovation. Even in commercial R&D the time from research to profit can be a lengthy one, as the case of Xerox PARC emphasizes, and the value of academic research and creation is such that major IT corporations such as Intel and Cisco are increasingly partnering with academic researchers rather than maintaining in-house research programs (Duke 2004; Chesbrough 2003). Public-sector and academic research are of particular importance in Canada, given its relatively low private-sector R&D activity. Moreover, spillovers from public research and innovation are estimated to have more than 40% greater impact than private-sector research (Department of Finance 2005).

#### SCHOLARSHIP AND THE CULTURAL SECTOR

The concept of the "creative economy" embodies the links among knowledge creation, cultural creativity and economic development, all of which are permeated with technological creativity (<u>United Nations 2008</u>).

The role of humanities research in relation to the larger cultural sector is understudied, but can be deduced from qualitative evidence. <u>The Research Excellence Framework pilot project</u> began the work of quantifying links between scholarship and culture in the form of "partnerships with public cultural institutions, theatre companies, museums and galleries" as well as impact on the publishing industry (<u>Simons 2010</u>). Most obviously, academic research — both that produced by members of the academy and that produced by those the academy trains in research methods — produces much content for academic and mainstream presses. The spin-off effects of literary and research projects are often multidirectional and extensive. Elizabeth Smart and Gwendolyn MacEwen, two mid-century Canadian writers, were given new currency by Rosemary Sullivan's recent award-winning literary biographies, which in turn resulted in the republication and translation of Smart's and MacEwen's works, theatrical productions and options for film rights.

The research-teaching link in areas such as Canadian literature, theatre and creative writing has multiple impacts: it sustains sales of Canadian-produced books as textbooks, keeping them in print long after their primary sales opportunities have subsided; it provides self-employed writers, actors and directors with opportunities for consultation and collaboration; and it produces life-long arts consumers. Canadian arts festivals are frequently organized by or in partnership with researchers. Online, new modes of circulation and dissemination of Canadian-produced books, such as <u>BookNet Canada</u> and <u>Canadian Bookshelf</u> are working to innovate the ways in which scholars approach and use texts (<u>BookNet Canada 2010</u>; <u>Canadian Bookshelf 2010</u>). New incentives initiated by SSHRC and other national funding bodies foster further development of a radical interdisciplinarity that blends creative practices with research models. The humanities and creative arts are ideal sites for developing exciting new design formats for intellectual production and dissemination in the new digital media, with extensive potential benefit to other sectors.

In sum, the open circulation of academic knowledge is a public good paid for by taxpayers' dollars, which also has significant impacts in the cultural industry. It helps to sustain both private-sector innovation and the cultural sector, a valuable factor in turbulent economic times.

### HIGHLY QUALIFIED PERSONNEL (HQP)

Employers in the new economy are demanding workers who combine domain-oriented knowledge with intellectual and practical skills: in a US survey of several hundred employers "written and oral communication" and "critical thinking and analytic reasoning" top the list of desiderata well above skills such as quantitative reasoning (Hart Research Associates 2010). Humanities research, in employing graduate students, incubates personnel with exceptionally advanced skills in these areas. Research in the digital humanities, communications, design, multimedia and other technically-oriented fields within the humanities combines such skill development with technological literacy and development training.

Sustaining digital research in the humanities will produce HQP with this combination of crucial skills. Expanding the innovative and interdisciplinary graduate programs in these fields will sustain knowledge development, produce a higher volume of these uniquely qualified personnel and produce conduits for knowledge transfer from academy to industry and public sector.

Research is transferred, along with skills, by advanced training in digitally-oriented humanities programs. The Humanities Computing Program at the University of Alberta, for instance, offers an innovative blend of research activity with technical experience and project management. The Masters of Digital Media Program at Great Northern Way University provides a model of building student projects around industry-driven problems, philanthropic causes, or social-change initiatives. Gaming companies are asking for programs to produce students with a combination of creative writing and technical backgrounds. The Canadian Film and Television Production Association has asked for a longstanding commitment on the part of the government to ensure the training of highly qualified digitally skilled personnel under Canada's National Digital Economy Strategy (CMPA 2010). The combination of humanities research with technical know-how injects uniquely qualified personnel into Canada's knowledge economy.

#### GENERATING CONTENT; PRESERVING HERITAGE

One policy analyst notes: "the Internet is important for public policy because — perhaps *primarily* because — it is a medium for delivering culture" (<u>Dayton-Johnson 2002</u>). Canada's digital footprint is modest despite our high rate of Internet connectivity. About a decade ago, it was estimated that Canadian sites garnered only 16% of our domestic traffic on the web (<u>LaPierre 2002</u>). The production of a critical mass of content with longevity, high production values and strong links to Canadian national identity is key to engaging citizens, creating contexts that can promote national creativity and innovation and raising our international profile. Indeed, the availability of Canadian content on all digital platforms has been identified as a key component of Canada's national digital strategy by Industry Canada (<u>Meahan 2010</u>).

Authoritative content balances mainstream web technologies' privileging of the popular (Brabazon 2007). The digital humanities as an interdisciplinary field of research brings together technical skills with cultural content preservation, dissemination and creation, enriching the quality of nationally produced digital content. Humanities scholars, and their "lab" of the academic library, are essential to the preservation of Canadian heritage and the expansion and maintenance of our national archive. That archive serves not only academics but the public. One of the great advantages of the digital turn is the extent to which it is strengthening library and researcher partnerships beyond the academy. Digital archives reach out to local groups, secondary school teachers and members of the arts communities. Academic researchers and libraries have a key role to play in partnering with First Nations groups to foster and preserve cultural materials in an environment of mutual respect and equality.

The production of digital media is public-facing by nature. Public heritage contributions make knowledge portable and accessible, reaching out to the marginalized, such as Canada's rural and indigenous communities, and preserving the records of some of our most evanescent or fragile cultural productions, be they in theatre,

oral history, or avant-garde performance. Such activities need to be understood by academic assessors not as ancillary to serious scholarship but as key transfers of scholarly knowledge.

#### DIVERSITY IN THE CULTURAL SECTOR

The academy is in some very real ways a key player in the preservation of certain sectors of Canadian culture. Theatre is an example: major works of Canadian drama are often produced only once, and find their enduring cultural impact not through repeated performances but through their presence on university syllabi (the work of Judith Thompson and Sharon Pollock and anthologies such as Jerry Wasserman's *Modern Canadian Plays* [1994], are cases in point). Digital humanities work can make marginalized materials more central and widely available, thus sustaining them.

The diversity of Canadian culture requires a flexible model for sustaining digital heritage. The cultural inflection of sustainability is seen most keenly in relation to indigenous cultures, whose notions of property and community demand innovative solutions. The emphasis on the linkages between environmental and cultural sustainability within First Nations knowledge systems, moreover, offers an opportunity to research and develop new community-based models of digital sustainability. The proliferation and dissemination of indigenous languages online shows the extent to which indigenous communities, once connected, will seize new media as a means of sustaining knowledge, culture and community; this in turn is leading to new socially networked modes of scholarship (Tamez 2010a; Tamez 2010b). Just as multicultural and aboriginal television programming functions as "bridging social capital" that contributes to social cohesion and understanding of diversity (Roth 2006), diversity in digital media will constitute a public good, enhancing engagement through interactivity. Such diversity is fostered by academic research.

In an age of information, we are in the ironic position of facing the loss of much of the richest knowledge of the past couple of decades, when research first began to migrate to digital form. Canada will miss a major opportunity if it does not sustain and circulate its digital wealth. To take a prominent example of national story-collecting, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission is recording impact statements from survivors of Residential Schools. Preserving the wealth of knowledge and depth of history embedded in those stories and readying them for dissemination, would provide both a rich mine for scholarly knowledge and an invaluable cultural archive (for research already underway on the TRC and the importance of archiving as a form of cultural memory, see the work of Naomi Angel [Angel 2010]). Within academia, there is a wealth of data in first-generation scholarly resources whose creators face, with retirement, the loss of the institutional resources to sustain their databases or websites.

#### DEFINING SUSTAINABILITY

The literature points to the complexity of the concept of sustainability itself (<u>Bradley 2007; Selfe et al. 2009a</u>). It encompasses not only the demand for ICT industry activity and employment but also considerations such as quality of life, Canada's national and international digital identity and what will survive for posterity. It implies a broader concern for the natural environment (<u>DeVoss et al. 2009</u>). Sustainability is always culturally inflected; for instance, conventional understandings of ownership and authorship are productively challenged by indigenous participation in the digital economy (<u>Alexander 2001; Brown 2007; Christen 2005; Seadle 2002;</u> <u>Sullivan 2002</u>). The move to develop an explicit national digital strategy is welcome insofar as it opens up discussion of how to achieve sustainability that looks to the long term. Such a strategy will encompass universities and colleges, libraries and archives, granting agencies, government at the federal, provincial and municipal levels, the private sector and a cultural sector that includes creative practitioners as well as

museums, community groups and cultural organizations. A consideration of cyberinfrastructure by the <u>American Council of Learned Societies</u> identified a need to invest in training, services and the production and maintenance of digital resources and tools (<u>ACLS 2006</u>).

Sustaining scholarship thus extends beyond the dissemination of research outcomes. It involves thinking beyond maintaining traditional scholarly output such as open-access journals (<u>Downes 2007</u>; <u>Fitzpatrick 2009</u>; <u>Lorimer and Maxwell 2007</u>; <u>Lorimer 2010</u>), to conserving raw materials or data through digital preservation, supporting non-traditional forms of scholarship that are not instantiated as finished products but continue to expand and change over time and exploring new modes of research dissemination.

Nor is sustainability the same as storage (<u>Fitzpatrick 2009</u>; <u>Jantz and Giarlo 2005</u>); a genuinely sustainable digital preservation model will therefore require a greater infrastructural investment. Similarly, the move to large-scale digital scholarship presents major challenges in terms of maintenance and updating if these important sites of current research are to survive (<u>SDS 2004</u>; <u>Brown et al. 2009</u>). Other central concerns for the development of a national digital strategy include the development of standards to support interoperability, as well as sustainable models for training emerging scholars to adapt to the digital workplace (<u>Sinclair and Gouglas 2002</u>).

#### **Research Opportunities**

- What are the cultural spillover impacts of digital scholarly activities in Canada?
- How might we investigate the intimate interlinkages between scholarship, artistic creation and public cultural activity? Understanding the impact of digital scholarship on culture would contribute to a national digital strategy.
- How is the sustenance of digital activities related to the growing sensitivity to environmental sustainability?
- Indigenous peoples' engagement with digital media deserves particular attention in future analyses.

## PRESERVATION

Only 4% of our cultural heritage is currently online. This number only refers to text content. If all of Canada's documentary heritage were included, the percentage of digitally preserved Canadian cultural heritage would be less than 1% (<u>Canadiana.org 2009</u>). Non-digital knowledge is increasingly marginalized, so sustaining a vibrant knowledge economy means ensuring swift digitization of our physical archive material. Such an initiative would boost the economy through job creation and HQP training, while putting Canada's digital economy on a firm foundation. Further, our recent and current intellectual capital, in the form of ongoing digital scholarly and cultural production, is particularly at risk due to its unstable and transitory nature ("LAC"). A whole generation of research and creation — from born-digital scholarly research through interactive poetry to computer games for which consoles are no longer made — is in danger of being lost because adequate preservation strategies have yet to be devised for now-obsolete recording technologies ("<u>Digital Poetry Archive</u>"). New media and born-digital scholarship provide unique opportunities for both sustaining and mobilizing cultural heritage and creation (<u>Kalay et al. 2008</u>), but also present unique challenges for archivists, scholars and creators dealing with new technologies (<u>Russell 2007; Jantz and Giarlo 2005; Fitzpatrick 2009</u>).

The library and archives community is tackling digital preservation for long-term access and sustainability as an extension of its longstanding commitment to preserving and curating the human record. Libraries have the expertise and resources to maintain digital materials and ensure their availability over a long period of time through initiatives such as institutional repositories; indeed digital libraries are an integral component of knowledge infrastructure (Larsen and Wactlar 2003), and have contributed to such influential initiatives as the Public Knowledge Project, which works to establish a sustainable and globally accessible body of high quality digital scholarship (Public Knowledge Project 2010). Cultural memory institutions like Canadiana.org are working to ensure access and extensive digitization (Canadiana.org 2010). Beyond these models of intentional preservation, open standards and open distribution involve communities in the process of sustaining materials in which they have an interest (Morrissey 2010). This synopsis covers:

- The creation and implementation of national metadata standards;
- The advantages of distributed digital preservation networks for large-scale digitization;
- The national importance of preserving culture and heritage;
- Some examples of successful preservation strategies.

#### NATIONAL METADATA STANDARDS

Digital archives offer new opportunities for discovering relevant content in documentary heritage. With evolving online search tools, metadata — or "data about data" — takes on new and increased importance as part of the archive by enhancing findability and hence access. The access to "relevant" digital archived content is enhanced significantly when the metadata has been expanded to facilitate online searching (<u>Cantara 2006</u>). For example, metadata enhancements include searchable text from optical character recognition scanning, crowd-sourced descriptions and tags, as well as user- and system-generated cross-artifact linkages. The organization and classification made possible by metadata increases the audience for digital texts by making them easily available to readers and customers (<u>LAC 2001</u>). Integrating and enhancing metadata as part of the digital archival process, adopting preservation metadata standards and leaving the metadata open for ongoing enhancements makes Canada's documentary heritage more accessible and thus more valuable (<u>Webb et al.</u>

2003; <u>Tjieka 2007</u>; <u>PREMIS</u>). Jantz and Giarlo (2005) conclude that "preservation and access are inextricably linked." Sustainability involves archiving in a way that allows information to continue to circulate.

#### DISTRIBUTED DIGITAL PRESERVATION NETWORKS

Libraries increasingly work to preserve materials beyond their own collections as archival preservation increasingly becomes predicated on the philosophy of replication or the LOCKSS (Lots of Copies Keeps Stuff Safe) principle. For example, Canada Research Chair Dr. Cynthia Patton's research with the Downtown East Side of Vancouver involved the creation of a repository of materials for DTES partners' use that is housed in Simon Fraser University Library's online site. This work has been done in collaboration with interested researchers and members of the cultural communities and other institutions. A collaboration between publisher Alan Twigg and the SFU library made the <u>BC Bookworld's</u> Author Database and past issues available online for the first time ("About ABC BookWorld"). Software tools and policies standards and practices have led to increasingly successful projects. A good example of such a project is the <u>Alabama Digital Preservation Network (Trehub and Wilson 2010</u>).

One reality that has emerged, however, is the very large cost of this preservation, particularly if longevity beyond a few years and existing formats is envisioned. Walters and Skinner (2010) argue for the economic viability of distributed digital preservation networks. The recent CARARE report on the sustainability of digital curation of heritage data also points to the fact that long-term preservation models require truly sustainable funding models that are limited neither to public sector funding nor to the monetisation of cultural goods. Just as collaborative preservation initiatives prove more sustainable, so do collaborative funding models (Moore et al. 2010).

#### PRESERVING HETEROGENEOUS DATA AND LIVING KNOWLEDGE

Much digital scholarship, particularly that from the humanities, emerges in forms that pose particular challenges to preservation due to the granularity of the data, the heterogeneity of the digital objects, the complex relationships amongst them and the tendency of projects to remain living and dynamic rather than reaching a point of completion and stability (SDS 2004). "Living archives" is a term increasingly used to describe a rather heterogeneous set of practices, from the UPEI-based and Canadian Heritage-sponsored Living Archives mobilization of secondary-school students to document social history and artifacts ("A Living Archives"), to partnerships between scholars and living Canadian artists to produce multimedia online archives of the artist's work (Wah). All share, however, a sense that digital media enable a continuous and emergent mode of knowledge production with exciting potentials for moving substantial bodies of contemporary cultural production online. The "living archive" also poses fundamental questions about sustainability since "the question of technology, of access and therefore inevitably of funding are as central to a 'living archive' as the aesthetic, artistic and interpretative practices" (S. Hall 2001: 91). Adequate funding and resourcing of digital archival practices, including extensive rapid technological expertise acquisition, is therefore central not only to sustaining our cultural heritage but also to sustaining our thriving cultural sector.

#### PRESERVATION STRATEGIES

There are numerous international examples of successful digital preservation strategies, including the US government-sponsored <u>National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program</u> (NDIIP), run by the Library of Congress (<u>Beagrie 2003</u>). In Canada, however, there has been little government-sponsored activity specifically directed toward preservation and access on a national scale, two notable exceptions being

the <u>Canadian Heritage Information Network (CHIN) Virtual Museum of Canada</u> that harvests metadata and thumbnail images from some Canadian Museums and the <u>Canadian Archival Information Network</u> (CAIN) that performs a similar function for archives' content-level metadata. Organizations such as the <u>Canadian</u> <u>Association of Research Libraries (CARL</u>), with its <u>Institutional Repository Harvester</u> that provides support for institutional repositories, and <u>Canadiana.org</u>, with its Canadian Metadata Repository and Portal that point to content held in institutional repositories, enabling one to search multiple repositories from one search engine, have established projects which go some way in aiding the preservation and access of our national content (<u>Jordan 2006</u>; <u>McGovern and McKay 2008</u>). Innovative models for dynamic archival practices include decentralizing curation through participatory practices such as user tagging (<u>Huvila 2008</u>; <u>Denard 2002</u>; "<u>Brooklyn Museum</u>"). On a more immediate level, we need to establish protocols that will allow researchers to "hand off" completed digital projects to archivists and librarians in a manner that will ensure ongoing access.

#### **Research Opportunities**

- Open and distributed models of preservation, such as <u>Canadiana.org</u>'s crowd-sourcing initiative, encourage long-term sustainability, which will require careful and systematic evaluation in terms of their long-term viability as well as the resources needed to sustain them.
- <u>Library and Archives Canada</u>'s impending certification, in conjunction with the <u>libraries of the</u> <u>Universities of Alberta</u> and <u>Toronto</u>, as a Trusted Digital Repository presents an opportunity to test the robustness and reliability of this sustainability model using the example of our heterogeneous body of texts and artifacts (interfaces, performances, etc.). Can the use of these cultural forms as test cases function as an opportunity to enhance a national digital preservation strategy?
- Canada lags behind other countries in the creation of a high-profile public contemporary creative digital archive. Such an archive would showcase Canadian cultural innovation and stimulate creativity and knowledge production within and beyond the academy.

# INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY: RIGHTS, CREDIT AND CONTROL

Innovation and research creation in the arts and humanities are directly linked to the circulation of knowledge, yet the fair circulation of knowledge demands that intellectual property rights be acknowledged (<u>Murray and Trosow 2007</u>). Virtually every participant in the debate regarding creator's rights and cultural or intellectual property comes to the table with a vested interest and a model of intellectual property that caters to their own proprietary and oftentimes curatorial, creative and economic self-interest. Borgman (<u>2007</u>) accurately states the case, however, in noting that the obsolescence of print-based preservation which allowed libraries to preserve out-of-print materials has created a crisis. The shift to digital dissemination and the resulting changes in rights management mean that: "The gap in responsibility and rights for permanent access to published materials is a huge concern for the continuity of the scholarly record" (<u>Borgman 2007</u>). A number of models for addressing the diverse interests of industry, artists, scholars and communities have been proposed (cf. CHPC Hearings on Digital and Emerging Medias; <u>CFHSS</u> on Bill C-32, November 2010) in an attempt to balance access and copyright in the area of "knowledge work." Initiatives such as <u>ArtMob</u> explore alternative rights protocols. This section will look at the current state of creator's rights in Canada as an aspect of sustainability by discussing:

- Current initiatives that link the academic and cultural sectors
- How community rights must inflect Canadian discussions of copyright;
- Fair dealing practices enabled by partnerships between scholars and artists;
- Sustainable policy models for the academic and cultural sectors.

### ALTERNATIVE MODELS

Knowledge-based economies thrive on the free exchange of information, and restrictive copyright laws are inimical to this growth (Vaidhyanathan 2001; Lessig 2004). Without the freedom to cite and otherwise draw upon cultural materials, academic knowledge production is unsustainable. At the same time, the interests of industry and individual cultural sector producers such as artists must be respected. Both Vaidhyanathan's and Lessig's research support the notion that an open or "leaky" approach to copyright, which increases circulation and mobilization of knowledge, is actually more beneficial to markets than an airtight copyright regime. Further, research suggests that making scholarship and creation available in digital formats "actually increases the profile of that publication, and creates sales of both print and digital publications" (LAC 2001). Several major ongoing projects are pioneering rights models that foster circulation.

Public licensing (e.g. Creative Commons such as a <u>"Share and Share Alike License"</u>) attaches a series of clauses to a copyrighted object that insist that people who make use of that object to create something must also release their creations under a public license so that others can do the same. Open access journals and knowledge repositories at universities and other venues that use public licensing are vitally important to the circulation of knowledge (<u>G. Hall 2008</u>). There is an increasing number of tools available for academics interested in public licensing. One is the <u>SPARC Canadian Author's Addendum</u>, a file that can be added to a publishing contract to ensure that scholars retain select rights to permit wider circulation of a scholarly publication, for instance through inclusion in an open institutional repository, than is usually allowed by such agreements.

ArtMob — a non-profit, university-hosted and CFI-funded Canadian site for digital archives of artistic work — is an example of an innovative sustainability research project that studies ways in which artistic practices produce useful challenges to current rights frameworks. The various sites that <u>ArtMob</u> hosts, including <u>bpnichol.ca</u>, fredwah.ca, moderndrama.ca and <u>UbuWeb</u> show how proceeding according to fair dealing principles has a trickle-down effect that opens up cultural content to public dissemination and use ("<u>Online</u> <u>Archive for bpNichol</u>"; "<u>Fred Wah Digital Archive</u>"; <u>moderndrama.ca</u>). Its aggregation of the cultural output of the avant-garde into a public archive, only possible through using a fair dealing model of copyright, has in turn attracted writers, performers, actors and film- and video-makers to contribute their works to the archive on a gift basis, further enriching the public archive. Kenneth Goldsmith, one of the founders of <u>UbuWeb</u>, has argued that the free distribution of low-resolution digital renderings of films indirectly generates income for artists and distributors through shows and viewings and by encouraging the purchase of higher quality films in DVD format (<u>Goldsmith 2010</u>). In this context it is clear that free dissemination of cultural goods can function as a form of promotion and a means by which small publishers, presses and individual artists can compete in a cultural economy that has become increasingly centralized over the past decade (<u>Whittall 2009</u>; <u>Sedo 2008</u>; <u>Lanham 2006</u>).

### **COMMUNITY RIGHTS**

There is a vast archive of cultural material in Canada and around the globe that is not directly the property of scholars, living individuals, or corporate entities but the product of communities of people. For example, First Nations and Inuit documents that are now out of print spring from a knowledge economy inimical to notions of individual creators' rights (Alexander 2001; Christen 2005; Seadle 2002; Sullivan 2002). The Federal Government's November 12, 2010 signing of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples signals that Canada has reached a major crossroads on the subject of community and cultural rights. The Declaration confirms the rights of Indigenous peoples "to maintain, protect and develop the past, present and future manifestations of their cultures" including "technologies and visual and performing arts and literatures" (Article 11). The Declaration implies an intellectual property model that accounts for community rights.

This problem is not unique to First Nations cultural products, however. The University of Alberta's project "Community Return in the Digital Age" is investigating three instances where "community return" poses a conceptual problem: literature from Onitsha, Nigeria that is out of copyright but still arguably belongs to a national community, while the archives lie in a Canadian institution; Aboriginal and Inuit documents that are out of print; and the archives for *Branching Out*, a feminist journal of national significance published from the mid seventies to the early eighties in Edmonton that cannot be made available because of the difficulties of tracking down individual authors and publishers to secure the rights for these materials. These examples demonstrates the need for an open and agile approach to copyright that balances community rights with the importance of circulating and disseminating knowledge.

### PARTNERSHIPS OF SCHOLARS AND ARTISTS

A number of projects across Canada right now incorporate the author or creator as an integral part of the creation of a digital knowledge resource ("<u>Fred Wah Digital Archive</u>"). In addition to providing a digital calling card for the artist, these sites demonstrate the potential of digital media to reshape the ways in which texts are defined and made available to audiences (<u>Jennings et. al 2006</u>; "<u>Electronic Poetry Center</u>"; "<u>Electronic Literature</u> <u>Organization</u>"; "<u>Digital Poetry Archive</u>"). Partnerships between scholars and artists provide a means of overcoming the strictures of copyright while respecting both the users' rights and creators' rights, and

pioneering work outside of Canada has brought dance into the digital archive (<u>Birringer 2002</u>). However, most scholarly projects in Canada continue to segregate creation, research and preservation. While projects such as <u>ArtMob</u> (<u>Coombe et al 2010</u>) and the <u>Canadian Writing Research Collaboratory</u> ("<u>CWRC</u>") are developing partnerships with artists, new models that spur digital dissemination of contemporary Canadian cultural content are needed.

#### **OPENNESS**

One of the keys to sustaining digital knowledge is to circulate it. Scholars including Lessig (2004), Vaidhyanathan (2001) and Geist (2010), have argued against the model of intellectual property as leading to strict monetization for the creation of wealth. Such a model of intellectual property can serve to consolidate corporate rights over data products, but can also restrict the free flow of knowledge within the economy.

The restriction of scholarly knowledge is often inadvertent. For example, the methods by which Tri-Council agencies evaluate research work can be in direct conflict with open dissemination because these evaluations often privilege patents and monetized knowledge products. Whereas corporate entities require an efficient and transparent patent system for continued growth (see, for example, the patent infringement lawsuit brought against <u>Research in Motion by NTP</u>, settled in 2006), cultural products call for a rights model that balances fair dealing and monetization. The increasing turn to open-source models of software development (some products of which, such as <u>Linux</u> and <u>Apache</u>, are workhorses of the digital economy) is another strong indicator that innovation thrives in open environments: collaboration and creativity flourish in digital environments with minimal restrictions, what Chesborough (2006) calls "open innovation" (cf. <u>Goldman and Gabriel 2005</u>). Without the circulation of knowledge, the creation of new knowledge is impossible (<u>Murray and Trosow 2007</u>).

At present, the policies designed to assist researchers with data preservation and dissemination vary greatly from institution to institution. Even with the recent Tri-Council statement on open access, many institutions are not equipped to support the technical conservation of data, the documentation, standardized formatting, ethical clearance, confidentiality requirements and communications of the terms and conditions of use that are necessary for meaningful dissemination of work online. This confused policy framework serves as a disincentive for researchers to share their data online (<u>CARL/ABRC 2008</u>). Without clear frameworks and resources to support the open dissemination of research, the benefits of broad dissemination do not yet outweigh the costs, which may include, in some cases, litigation.

### **Research Opportunities**

- How can researchers maintain systems of authorization for their contributions to the knowledge economy while at the same time encouraging the mobilization of knowledge? Are traditional quality-control systems, including the filtering roles played by peer review and publishers, adaptable to the new knowledge economy?
- What measures can be taken to guard against the privatization of public cultural heritage?
- What models of intellectual property rights can accommodate the range of interested groups from industry to First Nations peoples, academics to artists?
- How can a digital economy effectively enable remuneration for creative intellectual property? Strategies ranging from <u>Access Copyright</u> to micropayments require evaluation.

• What means most effectively promote the circulation of scholarly and creative work? Is public licensing a viable alternative to current copyright policies?

## NEW MODES OF RESEARCH CREATION

Digital modes of creation are producing rich scholarly content in response to the possibilities of digital tools and modes of expression (McGann 2010a). Socially networked research communities, cutting-edge arts journals and online poetry collections, "living archive" partnerships of scholars and creative practitioners and dynamic research sites that reflect the changing state of knowledge are examples of innovative scholarship that poses new challenges in terms of both sustaining the research activity itself beyond the start-up phase and preserving its output. New digital forms of research and creation face unique problems, including the difficulties of academic validation and financial sustainability (Cohen 2010). Digital humanities, as an interdisciplinary field of inquiry into the application of computer tools to humanistic pursuits, has developed standards for producing digital scholarly content, but viable models for sustaining research activity in the long term are still elusive. Growth in digital humanities graduate programs will promote digital literacy and help to meet the specific challenges of sustaining research. Issues surrounding the evolution of scholarship in the digital era include:

- New forms of scholarship that are not conducive to being "done";
- Changing models of peer review and assessment of scholarly value;
- The move toward strategic partnerships and collaboration.

## WHEN IS DIGITAL SCHOLARSHIP "DONE"?

Scholars have drawn attention recently to how notions of scholarly research as "done" are a relic of traditional printing and dissemination models that do not pertain to new forms of digital research and cultural production (Rumsey 2010; Brown et al. 2009). The printed monograph and journal are reeling from outdated models of production, dissemination and stewardship, and themselves require new approaches to sustainability (Boismenu 2010; Lorimer 2010). Furthermore, these forms so strongly associated with tenure are ill-suited to "scholarship based on collaborative knowledge production or using dynamic data, visualizations, 3D models, and audiovisual formats" (Rumsey 2010). Scholars and creative practitioners are increasingly engaged across disciplines in extensive collaborative work that embraces the array of possibilities offered by digital media to produce and disseminate knowledge in new ways; such work offers new challenges in the technical expertise and design required at the production stage, as well as with respect to sustainability.

These emergent modes of research are not necessarily instantiated as finished products but can continue to expand and change over time; in these cases their value is better measured in terms of their impact on other researchers and their spin-off impacts on the cultural sector than in terms of achieving stasis. Such projects present major sustainability challenges because they require ongoing maintenance and updating (Nowviskie and Porter 2010; SDS 2004). Further research is needed to determine whether the best response to these challenges is an institutional setting capable of providing continuity and baseline resources (Kretzschmar 2009), or other possibilities such as open-source-like models of sustaining through community. A key policy consideration is how to sustain the creation process of a digital resource while also, perhaps simultaneously, preserving and maintaining it.

In other cases, a scholarly project may finish, but if it is in digital form it can become an albatross around the neck of a researcher who has neither the expertise nor the resources to archive it effectively. The current generation of scholars is the first faced with the concern of sustaining their own scholarship (<u>Fitzpatrick 2009</u>). The strength of humanities scholars lies in research production and initial dissemination, but they lack the infrastructure, technical and editorial expertise and resources to do what, in the age of print, was done almost

entirely by libraries and archives. As a result of this new situation, much research in the digital humanities has focused on archiving, editing and dissemination, all practices that connect to the preservation of humanities data, objects of study and research outcomes. As scholarship continues to go multimedia, however, a distinction between scholarship and preservation must be established to maintain the integrity of both and rationalize the distribution of expertise and resources (<u>Ball 2004</u>; <u>Jankowski 2009</u>).

#### ASSESSING SCHOLARLY VALUE

The gulf is growing between traditional scholarly publication modes and their accompanying metrics of value such as peer review, and more open-ended and diverse digital scholarly publication (Sewall 2009). New forms of digital dissemination such as the Orlando Project — which has been praised for pushing the boundaries of collaboration and interdisciplinarity (Ede and Lunsford 2001) — demonstrate that there is value in the academy far beyond the monograph (Williams et al. 2009), but these new forms demand new rubrics for assessing value. Software development is perhaps the most extreme example of a kind of scholarly activity unaccounted for within the traditional humanities evaluative system.

As discussed above, digital scholarship breaks down the apparent boundaries between teaching and learning. A difficulty that plagues large collaborative projects, however, is the difficulty of tracking and acknowledging the often invisible labour of both student researchers and technical assistants. As humanities scholarship moves increasingly in the direction of experiential learning models, collaboration and interdisciplinarity (HASTAC), the issue of tracking and rewarding labour for innovative or non-traditional work must be of central concern. Current rubrics of academic value discourage some of the work most capable of enriching the cultural sector, communicating with the public beyond the borders of the institution, engaging in community conversation and challenging traditional notions of scholarship (Menand 2010).

Anecdotal evidence suggests that the forms of research with the greatest cultural impact are often those least rewarded by institutional rubrics of value such as peer review, hiring, ttenure and promotion committees. Methods for assessing the impact of humanities scholarship that moves beyond the academy are inadequate. Fitzpatrick (2009) notes that "a critical study of peer review might require empirical work of a sort for which humanists are neither trained nor rewarded," yet such evaluation is crucial to understanding how to sustain scholarship for the future. Nonprofits such as the <u>Trudeau Foundation</u> emphasize the importance of creating "opportunities for engagement with a broader public, which "in turn leads to a better informed citizenry, new ideas, and heightened opportunities for democratic participation" ("<u>Public Interaction Program</u>").

Peer review is a major point of contestation among scholars who are debating its continued relevance as a form of academic gate-keeping versus its resistance to recognizing groundbreaking work and the shifting nature of authority (Fitzpatrick 2009). Peer review still has a place in authorizing the work of emerging scholars, but it faces unique challenges in dealing with new media texts (Bogost 2008). At the same time, there is a concern that persistent adherence on the part of hiring and tenure and promotion committees to the scholarly monograph or print journal will discourage innovation (Siemens 1999), particularly in a difficult job market.

### COLLABORATION

As scholarship goes multimedia it is also going collaborative. Knowledge and knowledge production are more extensively networked than ever before and are deeply embedded socially. The digital turn has led to the advent of increasingly large-scale and ambitious projects within the humanities. This trend brings with it the challenges of funding collaboration and interdisciplinarity, which can require enhanced resourcing, but at the

same time, networked knowledge offers new possibilities for sustaining scholarship (<u>Selfe et al. 2009b</u>). In addition, digital media are breaking down the barriers between academic knowledge production and the public: the potential for partnerships with communities, for crowd-sourcing and for "citizen science" to contribute to scholarly endeavours is immense, but needs to be better understood.

A sustainable digital environment will encourage a further pushing of the boundaries of academic disciplines by cultivating strategic partnerships both across disciplines and beyond the academy. Menand (2010) has pointed out that collaborative and interdisciplinary scholarship is uniquely capable of connecting scholars "to the culture and the society that is being created and lived all around us," and of breaking down "the institutional armature we once may have desired to secure us." Indeed digital creation and dissemination frequently produce collaborations with designers and technicians, permitting cross-fertilization. Mozilla's Drumbeat Festival is a prominent example of just such an innovative practice, bringing together the private sector with academics and community in a conversation made possible through the openness that defines much digital work (Mozilla).

Similarly, digital media carry with them the potential for increased collaboration with various publics. As Richard Barbrook influentially observed, many interact with technology in terms of a gift economy rather than a market economy (2005). "Free" labour and the revival of the notion of the "commons" have created considerable value in the digital economy (Terranova 2000; Hardt and Negri 2009). Community engagement and crowd-sourcing have the potential to help to sustain scholarship, broaden dissemination, improve digital literacy, expand the quantity of quality online content and promote exchange globally. A key example of this is the thriving digital community of HASTAC, a digital forum that harnesses the energy of emerging scholars to generate sites of community collaboration and innovation ("HASTAC"). However, we are only beginning to see the results of early experiments in combining the work of diverse knowledge communities as a mode of producing academic research (in, for instance, the Bentham Project), and the results of various models require careful assessment.

## **Research Opportunities**

- How can institutional rubrics be changed to account for the impact of new forms of scholarship?
- What new means of recognition for participation in large projects are required by the modes of collaboration and creation enabled by digital scholarship?
- Can voluntary labour help to sustain scholarship in ways that both enhance the value of what is produced and value the labour that is donated?
- Could alternative forms of authorization and credit, for instance a "microcredit" system within a contributory scholarly environment, enable the legitimation and higher valuation of collaborative scholarship?
- What are the most effective means and agents by which to bridge the gap between scholarly research and preservation?

## INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENTS, PARTNERSHIPS AND RESOURCING

Canada's knowledge society serves as the foundation for our continued economic growth in the twenty-first century, but at present we lack a considered evaluation of the place of humanities scholarship within that economy. Some of Canada's best corporate citizens, such as Mike Lazaridis, founder of <u>RIM</u> and the <u>Perimeter Institute</u>, have seen the importance of the links that connect research, culture and economic production. Every sector benefits from greater mobilization of knowledge between areas of the economy that have been traditionally separated. This section examines three contexts within which these benefits can be realized more fully:

- Within universities;
- Through training of new scholars, creators and knowledge workers,
- Between academic institutions and corporations or other bodies.

#### INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENTS

Sustainable digital scholarship relies upon the support of institutions, particularly universities and colleges. The use of digital media has become pervasive within universities and colleges, but few institutions have developed policies and resources to encourage digital scholarship (McGann 2010b). From the intradepartmental level of tenure and promotion committees to interdepartmental changes in how IT departments are mandated, change is required in academic infrastructure.

Hiring, tenure and promotion standards require rethinking, as indicated above. In the humanities, co-authored papers and monographs are often undervalued and most evaluation bodies are ill-equipped to evaluate the quality of digital scholarship (<u>MLA Task Force 2007</u>). Revision of policies as well as education is required. Moreover, there is resistance to open content practices from within the academy. One branch of an institution may officially endorse open access while departments still privilege for-profit over open-access journals, even though studies suggest the latter provide greater research impact (<u>Gargouri et al. 2010</u>). Institutional valuation may push academics to disseminate their work via journals and repositories that demand relinquishment of copyright (<u>Davidson 2003</u>). Scholars who choose new channels of dissemination to maximize the mobilization of their research are often penalized for using non-traditional media such as blogs, podcasts and magazines (<u>Ball 2004</u>).

Institutions can promote the efficiency and long-term sustainability of digital projects by coordinating interdepartmental resources. <u>Yale University's Office of Digital Assets and Infrastructure</u>, which seeks to provide a unified management strategy across the university, is the exception rather than the norm within higher education (<u>Bellinger et al. 2009</u>). At present, relationships between a digital project and an institution's IT support are usually individually negotiated. Effective digital infrastructure includes both technology and technical personnel, yet few institutions provide much digital research infrastructure (<u>CDMN et al. 2010</u>; <u>STIC 2008</u>). Too often the staff necessary for the development of a digital project are lodged within IT departments, forcing scholars to draw on disengaged labour, if they can access it at all, rather than partnering with technical colleagues invested in humanities research. It is apparent that where there are academic and non-academic technical staff with a mandate to incubate and sustain digital scholarship, creation and multimedia activities, they will thrive and expand.

Digital projects in the humanities are at present quite evenly housed by research centres or institutes, libraries and academic departments (<u>Porter and Nowviskie 2010</u>). Both centres and libraries provide spaces of institutional continuity with a mandate for sustaining digital scholarly results beyond the individual scholar or scholarly team. Proactive preservation plans emerging from digital humanities centres involve partnerships with libraries (<u>Zorich 2008</u>; <u>"Sustaining" 2010</u>). Putting digital research infrastructure in place should be a priority for universities. However, to develop effective sustainability we need to assess varying approaches to institutional support, inter-institutional collaboration and working across institutional silos to provide a breadth of expertise that only the very largest universities might hope to provide alone.

### TRAINING EMERGING SCHOLARS AND HQP

A sustainable model of digital scholarship requires support for training emerging scholars and HQP in digital literacies and methodologies. Indeed, digital literacies (<u>Willinsky and Dobson 2009</u>) are essential to post-secondary education in a digital economy: digital infrastructure development, software production and content creation also rely on advanced digital skills (<u>Terranova 2000</u>). Graduate programs in humanities computing and digital humanities build upon such literacies to develop highly qualified personnel who are broadly employable. These and similarly valuable programs in communications, multimedia and media studies are growing slowly as a result of lack of resources. More programs will educate humanities students to use and critique existing resources and create new and better resources in the future (<u>Hockey 1999</u>; <u>Unsworth 2002</u>).

The digital humanities has a tradition of offering short courses, such as those held at Princeton and Duke in the 1980s and 90s, those offered each summer in Victoria and across the US and Europe. The even shorter and less structured <u>THATCamp</u> model seems to be effective at engaging researchers from a wide range of backgrounds (<u>http://thatcamp.org/</u>). Providing such training opportunities to established scholars and artists could help make undergraduate curricula in the humanities more amenable to teaching digital skills and working with new media cultural production, as does Di Brandt in her CRC-sponsored Poetry Video Lab in Brandon.

A model of ongoing training is also effective, as seen in the participation in large digital projects of undergraduate and graduate research assistants. The list of former project participants at the <u>Orlando Project</u> includes a large number who have gone on to careers in the academy, publishing, IT or other areas of the private sector, taking with them the technical skills and critical thinking provided by collaboration on a large, ongoing research project (<u>Orlando Project Team</u>). Other examples include Brad Eccles, who segued his two-year academic research experience with the <u>Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare Project</u> into an entrepreneurial venture (<u>Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare Project</u>); his gaming company, <u>HitGrab Labs</u>, where his gaming models grew out of CASP's <u>'Speare</u> project, currently employs more than 20 people (<u>HitGrab Labs</u>).

### STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS

Humanities scholars are ready to collaborate broadly and fuel innovation (<u>Cunningham 2010</u>), however they need opportunities to do so. Strategic partnerships amongst the private sector, the academy and the cultural sector could help stimulate Canada's digital economy. The success of the <u>Perimeter Institute's 2009 "Quantum to Cosmos"</u> festival of film, art and lectures could be taken up as a model for community outreach and cross-sector partnerships. The <u>University of Waterloo's Stratford Institute</u> offers a new model of educational partnership among Waterloo, <u>Open Text</u> and the government, "bringing together opportunities for strategic, forward-looking research in the digital media space" ("<u>Stratford</u>"). Google is exploring the benefits of private sector support for innovative humanities research through its <u>Digital Humanities Research Awards</u> (<u>Google</u>). Within the academy, research libraries and archives have taken the lead in developing outreach programs to public museums and libraries (<u>Adria and Mitchell 2008</u>; <u>Fletcher 2008</u>; <u>Hall 2009</u>; <u>Phipps and Shapson 2009</u>).

The 2009 joint SSHRC, JISC, NEH and NSF <u>Digging into Data Challenge</u> on large-scale data analysis garnered enormous response, indicating a strong desire of researchers to work collaboratively across national borders (<u>JISC et al. 2010</u>). This knowledge synthesis group also saw potential in a different kind of strategic partnership program that would place scholars in residence at ICT companies to stimulate knowledge transfer and synergies. The <u>Canada Council</u> might consider a similar model, given the antecedents for such arrangements involving the residency of creative artists at <u>Xerox PARC</u> and the <u>Perimeter Institute</u>.

## **Research Opportunities**

- What models for cross-sector partnerships best foster knowledge mobilization?
- How might internal university structures recognize ongoing development of digital skills at both junior and senior researcher levels, including those acquired from outside the institution? Could a digital skills portfolio help accredit training acquired through participation in research projects?
- What modes of institutional support for digital research and content creation produce the best and most sustainable results?

## FUNDING MODELS

As the new digital economy has created new forms of cultural production and scholarship, the funding models that support and sustain these industries demand rethinking. McGann (2010b) refers to funding bodies as the fourth key institutional agent responsible for the sustainability of scholarship in the digital era, alongside the scholars themselves, publishing entities and libraries. Without this crucial financial support, developing a sustainable model for scholarship and related cultural activity would be impossible. Two major reports on sustainable funding for digital projects suggests that no single funding model is 100% sustainable, arguing instead for the sustainability of mixed funding models (Moore et al. 2010; Guthrie et al. 2008). Key considerations for funding sustainable scholarship and culture include:

- Funding digital projects post-publication;
- Incorporating sustainability and interdisciplinarity into grant requirements;
- Funding partnerships beyond the academic sector;
- Funding models that understand training as a form of apprenticeship.

### **POST-PUBLICATION SUSTAINABILITY**

Funding agencies must recognize that some scholarly publications are not intended to reach a point of finality like print scholarship (<u>Kirschenbaum 2009</u>). Current funding models privilege start-up or innovation and virtually omit the updating, maintenance and extension activities that are essential to sustaining published digital scholarship. An open-access model for scholarship would need to provide for the nontrivial costs of such activities, or we will see an increasing number of valuable digital resources produced by public research funds disappear, either into large subscription-based content aggregators or into oblivion.

Programs that recognize ongoing modes of cultural and scholarly production include the <u>SSHRC Aid to</u> <u>Scholarly Journals program</u> and the <u>Canada Council's Assistance to Artist-Run Centres</u>; the latter emphasizes the importance of ongoing infrastructural support for "research, production, presentation, promotion and dissemination of new works." Programs that focus on maintenance and sustainability of collections of major scholarly digital resources are also a must. Digital research demands levels of infrastructure beyond what is needed by traditional humanities scholarship. The Canada Research Chair and the Canada Foundation for Innovation programs both acknowledge the reliance of innovative research on infrastructure. Rockwell (2010)</u> points out that the creation of the CFI in 1997 marked a major turning point in the research culture in Canada, encouraging scholars to think not only in terms of research outcomes but in terms of research infrastructure. For digital humanists, cyberinfrastructure is absolutely central to sustainable scholarship. The impact of this turn to infrastructure on humanities scholarship, however, demands further research into the benefits of collaborative infrastructure, the differences between research and infrastructure and the importance of experimental infrastructure as the basis of more long-term investments (<u>Rockwell 2010</u>).

<u>SSHRC</u> researchers produce knowledge that benefits society, and digital dissemination increases the impact of that knowledge. Many SSHRC-funded grants now include digital forms of dissemination (or "valorisation"), in keeping with digital scholarship's tendency towards dissemination (<u>Adria and Mitchell 2008</u>). It is unlikely that such dissemination will have long-term impact, however, unless sustainability measures are put into place to sustain research outcomes past the granting period. Funding bodies should consider incorporating sustainability plans as part of grant applications involving digital research. Likewise, provisions should for

<u>SSHRC</u> grant applicants to address whether the <u>Council's Research Data Archiving Policy</u> applies to their project and, if so, how they plan to comply with it. Such measures would put pressure on institutions to provide the resources and infrastructure in order to assist researchers in meeting these obligations.

The <u>National Endowment for the Humanities</u> start-up grants demand protocols for long-term digital projects: "Applicants should also discuss how the project's ultimate product is likely to be disseminated and what provisions will be made for the long-term maintenance of the product" (<u>NEH 2010</u>). Similarly, many of their grants demand as a final output a white paper, which the <u>NEH</u> hosts and maintains on their own website. Were Canadian funding bodies to follow suit, they would open further pathways among digital scholarship, culture and industry.

#### FUNDING PARTNERSHIPS

Funding models should foster partnerships beyond the academic sector. Currently there are barriers to involving participants from the cultural sector and from industry because participation is regulated. Research has emphasized the benefits of university and industry collaboration (<u>Lee 2000</u>), but the full potential of partnerships for and with the digital humanities has yet to be realized. The new <u>SSHRC</u> architecture should be assessed early on for its ability to foster such partnerships.

Large-scale knowledge creation projects that build sustainability into their design will almost necessarily involve partnerships with librarians and archivists from the outset, especially as the standards for long-term digital preservation are being defined by that community (see "<u>Preservation</u>"). A viable sustainability model will rely upon them, and funding models need to foster such relationships by, for instance, acknowledging the different forms of labour involved by participants from different locations within the institution, and allowing budgets to be structured accordingly. Otherwise, libraries are likely to find themselves unable to resource prospective partnerships with research projects.

### TRAINING AS APPRENTICESHIP

A sustainable model of funding digital and new media scholarship will recognize that training is life-long for some humanist scholars who work with rapidly changing tools and resources (Shanks 2008). The success and expansion to capacity of the Digital Humanities Summer Institute at the University of Victoria, now in its tenth year, demonstrates how active and ongoing training is vital to a thriving digital economy. The Banff Centre for the Fine Arts has hosted digital media seminars, workshops and studios for artists for several decades, and has had a major beneficial effect in fostering a vibrant experimental digital artistic practice across disciplines in our country. Large collaborative research projects have the opportunity to give emerging scholars are given the opportunity to pursue their own research within the framework of infrastructural support provided by large collaborative projects, the line between research and training disappears. The Editing Modernism in Canada project is an exemplary model of such apprenticeship, as are other projects mentioned elsewhere in this report ("Editing").

A necessary component of training emerging scholars is maintaining the vital link between teaching and research (<u>Healey 2005</u>; <u>Healey and Jenkins 2007</u>). An academy in which ongoing research and learning are integrated will produce highly qualified personnel (HQP) equipped not only with new forms of digital literacy but also with the adaptability necessary to keep abreast with change.

#### **Research Opportunities**

- How do the parameters of funding or rubrics of value impact possibilities for innovative and cuttingedge research and cultural practice?
- How can funding models promote partnerships that include librarians and archivists, as well as participation from the public and the private sectors?
- How can research programmes be revised to make sustainability plans an explicit criterion for funding?

# THE NATIONAL POLICY GAP

Combined efforts across disciplines, institutions and economic sectors are vital for the creation of a flexible and durable digital economy able to respond to many of the challenges outlined here. Such efforts would be substantially aided by a national digital preservation strategy, the fostering of strategic partnerships, funding and institutional support for innovative interdisciplinary research production and dissemination. These needs amount to a gap in national policy with respect to sustainability. Three possible measures suggest themselves as a means of addressing this gap:

- A national metadata portal to support interoperability between distributed preservation networks;
- A national tool repository to increase early adoption by scholars and segue digital scholarship into the private sector and the community;
- A set of national sustainability standards to guide researchers and institutions.

### NATIONAL METADATA STANDARDS AND PORTAL

The interoperability and reusability of data, research and creative productions are improved by the application of standards-based machine-readable metadata and ontologies (Jankowski 2009). While there are many different metadata standards for different types of digital objects and related to different purposes, there would be considerable advantage in establishing minimal national standards.

There are currently various initiatives underway to digitize Canadian cultural and heritage materials. The value of these initiatives can be maximized, not through centralization, but through a national metadata portal capable of leveraging interoperable metadata to make the materials searchable and thus usable. The newly launched <u>Canadiana</u> <u>Discovery Portal</u> is an excellent example of the ability of such a portal to make several of the country's largest digital collections searchable simultaneously. This is a step towards a national system for organizing and maintaining the fruits of digitization initiatives across the country.

#### NATIONAL TOOL REPOSITORY

The 2005 Summit on Digital Tools for the Humanities emphasized the importance of digital tools — from text mining to visualization to geographic information systems — for enabling innovative research and teaching in the humanities and beyond. The development of tools is a form of research itself, but it also fundamentally changes how all humanities research is performed by facilitating new modes of engagement with ever-expanding bodies of texts (Unsworth et al. 2005; Crane 2006; Siemens et al. 2009). However, too often the innovative research that goes into designing tools for humanities research fails to be rewarded by a strong uptake from the scholarly community, either because of poor interface design or lack of experience on the part of potential user groups, or lack of dissemination, which also stands in the way of mobilizing the research results.

We thus propose the creation of a national tool repository capable of providing national access to new tools in a framework that would promote early adoption by researchers in both creative and scholarly fields. Features such as user guides, consumer reports and rankings would increase usability, while the ability to disseminate tools to a wider audience would serve as incentive for developers. Examples such as the <u>Open Journals System</u>

and <u>Zotero</u> prove that digital tools have enormous potential for widespread adoption, for shifting modes of knowledge production and for further mobilizing research results.

The challenge of maintaining open source software is itself an aspect of sustaining digital scholarship (<u>Maron et al. 2009; Courant and Griffiths 2006; Spiro 2010</u>). Indeed, the UK has recently recognized this aspect of sustaining digital scholarship and established The <u>Software Sustainability Institute</u> to consult with groups on preserving software systems (<u>www.software.ac.uk</u>). The <u>National Science Foundation</u> in the US has likewise recognized software sustainability and reusability as an important component of cyberinfrastructure. Various sustainability models, including ones incorporating partnership between academy and the private sector, have been investigated and put forward in recent years, but no widely accepted standards have yet been developed (<u>Stewart et al. 2010; Chang et al. 2007</u>). A national repository would move more of Canada's technical research output into use.

#### NATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY GUIDELINES

Both the importance of metadata portals and the potential value of a tool repository point to the broader need for national guidelines for digital sustainability, whether of ongoing scholarly and creative practices or of cultural heritage materials in the process of being digitized. Library and Archives Canada, Heritage Canada, the Canadian Heritage Information Network and Canadiana.org could lead the way to ensuring interoperability by setting reasonable minimum standards for both metadata and practices.

## CLOSING SUMMARY

At this watershed moment in the transition to digital media, we are faced with a choice. Digital does not mean cost-free, and neither do open access or open source. Sustaining scholarship in the new economy will cost. It will require real cooperation amongst stakeholders, precisely because it is interwoven with other aspects of the digital economy. Private-sector interests are inimical to the preservation of digital artifacts that have no relation to their core business activities (<u>Wilson et al. 2009</u>; Lessig 2004; Vaidhyanathan 2001). Similarly, scholars cannot bear the burden of sustaining their objects of study and their research outcomes. However, if we as a digital society understand knowledge as a public good (<u>Willinsky 2005</u>), Canada will invest in sustaining access to the results of publicly funded research and the record of our past and present creativity.

Sustainability means not storage but circulation: digital materials operate without the logic of scarcity that characterized previous media (<u>Urruita 2002</u>). Circulation maximizes their use-value, particularly under conditions that make it possible to determine provenance and reliability. We need the humanities and academic libraries, archives and museums to work with the private sector and our other memory institutions to preserve as much as possible of the full scholarly record and cultural archive, since we cannot determine what will be valued in the future.

The pace of technological change is such that one is always tracking a moving object (<u>ACLS 2006</u>). Our review suggests the need for an open and agile approach to sustaining scholarship and culture, one that builds on the energy and insights emerging from cultural creators' and scholars' innovative responses to the digital environment. These include the far-reaching interdisciplinarity that digital creation and scholarship foster, digitization partnerships between marginalized communities and academics, new approaches to design devised through electronic literature creation or gaming research, and joint initiatives of academic libraries and digital scholars in support of emerging modes of scholarship and dissemination.

There are many gaps in our knowledge about the interrelationship of scholarship and the arts within the digital economy, and about how to sustain them. Yet in many respects the way forward is clear: sustaining digital scholarship in Canada will safeguard the knowledge context that ensures the continued creativity of the digital economy, contribute exemplary content to Canada's digital identity, promote the public good, boost employment and increase the numbers of flexible, highly qualified personnel. Sustainability can be initiated now through feasible measures at the levels of individual post-secondary institutions, granting councils and government agencies.

What lasts of previous cultures? While we build into the future on the foundation of their largely forgotten advances in technology and science, we remember and bring with us into that future their history, philosophy, arts and traditions. This continuous production of human knowledge and creativity provides the vital context within which we transform new information and discoveries into usable forms within the economy. Such transformation through historical and critical understanding is the province of the arts and humanities, as is the creation of digital adepts who are able to make the most of our fast-paced technological society, as citizens, consumers and producers.

# APPENDIX 1: THE KNOWLEDGE SYNTHESIS PROCESS

This project was funded by a Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Knowledge Synthesis Grant on the Digital Economy. The grant ran from August to December 2010 in conjunction with the federal government's development of a national digital economy strategy and was charged with identifying knowledge gaps and research opportunities associated with the digital economy.

Our methodology combined:

1) **A review of the relevant literature** and reports from a range of fields, allowing us to synthesize the existing state of public knowledge; this review has been made available online as a public resource. It draws on published scholarship, on reports on cyberinfrastructure and digital scholarship, on Canadian sources such as <u>Statistics Canada</u> and <u>National Data Archive Consultation</u> sources, and reports and information from groups such as the <u>Canadian Heritage Information Network</u>, Library and Archives Canada, <u>Canadiana.org</u>, <u>Synergies</u> and <u>ArtMob</u>.

2) **An online forum** (<u>http://sustainableknowledgeproject.blogspot.com/</u>) allowed us to learn from and incorporate into the synthesis the knowledge and experience of participant stakeholders representing a range of types of involvement in sustaining digital humanities scholarship and literary, performance and multimedia creative practice.

3) A series of interviews with co-applicants and other key stakeholders both to ensure breadth and coverage in the literature review and to structure the summit and the topics covered by the final report. Interview participants: René Audet (Université Laval), K. Jane Burpee (University of Guelph), Julie Roy (Université Laval), Lisa Spiro (Rice University), Margo Tamez (University of British Columbia) and from the knowledge synthesis team: Susan Brown, Di Brandt, Lynn Copeland, Daniel Fischlin, Dean Irvine, Ashok Mathur, Robyn Read, Susan Rudy, Stan Ruecker, Chantal Savoie, Ray Siemens, Stephen Slemon, Darren Wershler and Ann Wilson.

3) **A summit** in Guelph of expert stakeholders crystallized insights from the literature review and identified knowledge gaps and strategic research opportunities to strengthen the ability of SSHRC to contribute to Canada's digital strategy. Summit participants: Di Brandt, Andrew Bretz, Susan Brown, Lynn Copeland, Patricia Demers, Daniel Fischlin, Dean Irvine, Ashok Mathur, Hannah McGregor, Susan Rudy, Chantal Savoie, Darren Wershler, Ann Wilson and Kathryn Harvey (Guelph).

4) **The final collaboratively authored report** was written and revised in conversation with coapplicants and other key stakeholders to ensure that it truly represents the diverse interests and concerns of those involved in the knowledge synthesis.

## APPENDIX 2: THE RESEARCH TEAM

Participants

#### Principal Applicant:

**Susan Brown**, Professor, English and Theatre Studies, Guelph; Visiting Professor, English and Humanities Computing, Alberta; Director, Orlando Project; Project Leader, Canadian Writing Research Collaboratory.

#### Co-applicants:

**Di Brandt**, Professor and Canada Research Chair, English/Creative Writing, Brandon University; poet, multimedia collaborator and critic; her Poetry Video Lab experiments with and develops innovative multimedia approaches to the production of poetry and ecopoetics. Co-recipient of 2010 Roy prize for best Canadian literary criticism and 2009 Foreword Gold Medal for Fiction.

**Lynn Copeland**, former Dean of Library Services and University Librarian, Simon Fraser; President, Canadiana.org; non-profit provider of Canadiana online and leader of initiative to digitize Canada's heritage; leader in re-imagining libraries in the online environment; instrumental in bringing the Public Knowledge Project to SFU.

**Patricia Demers**, Professor, English and Film Studies, University of Alberta; Fellow and Past President of the Royal Society of Canada; Director, Digital Humanities Research Studio; PI, SSHRC SRG on Canadian Women Writers since 1950, with online and reader survey components.

**Michael Eberle-Sinatra**, Associate Professor, Études Anglaises, Montréal; President, Synergies CFI project; President (French), Society for Digital Humanities/Société pour l'étude des médias interactifs; founding editor in 1996 of peer-reviewed electronic journal *Romanticism and Victorianism on the Net.* 

**Daniel Fischlin**, Professor and University Research Chair, English and Theatre Studies, University of Guelph; Director, Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare project; co-investigator and General Series Editor of books associated with the Improvisation, Community and Social Practice, a MCRI project; co-editor of online, peer-reviewed *Critical Studies in Improvisation/Études critiques en improvisation*.

**Dean Irvine**, Associate Professor, English, Dalhousie; Leader, Editing Modernism in Canada SSRHC Strategic Clusters Project which combines innovative digital editing initiatives and collaborative scholarship with strong print publishing partnerships with major Canadian university presses.

**Ashok Mathur**, Associate Professor and Canada Research Chair, Thompson Rivers; novelist and poet; Director of Centre for Innovation in the Arts in Canada; works for cultural dissemination for disenfranchised groups; recipient of SSHRC Research-Creation grant.

**Robyn Read**, new scholar with digital humanities experience and specialization in contemporary Canadian literature; Acquiring Editor, Freehand Books, the literary imprint of Broadview Press; exploring how digital media can help small presses compensate for lack of publicity and distribution.

**Susan Rudy**, Professor, English, Calgary; scholar of contemporary Canadian writing; editor of several digital archives for living artists including currently the Erín Moure Living Knowledge Site.

**Stan Ruecker**, Associate Professor, Humanities Computing and English, Alberta; specialist in digital humanities and innovative online scholarly interfaces.

**Chantal Savoie**, Professeure, Département des littératures, Laval; co-applicant on *La vie littéraire au Québec* project; current digital research project on Québecoise women writers and the press.

**Ray Siemens**, Professor, English and Humanities Computing, and Canada Research Chair, Victoria; Director, Electronic Textual Cultures Lab; PI, Implementing the New Knowledge Environment MCRI Project.

**Stephen Slemon**, Professor, English and Film Studies, Alberta; specialist in Canadian and postcolonial literature; Chair, Management Board, Aid to Scholarly Publication Program, Humanities and Social Sciences Federation of Canada.

**Darren Wershler**, Assistant Professor, Concordia; *Canadian Film Centre Media Lab TELUS Interactive Art & Entertainment Program*; poet; member of ArtMob project in mobilizing and publicly archiving Canadian visual and literary art; senior editor when Coach House Press became first in world to publish frontlist at once digitally and in print; digital content management consultant.

**Robin Sokoloski**, Executive Director, Playwrights Guild of Canada; background in innovative dissemination of work from the Guild's national youth arts program.

**Ron Walker**, Executive Director, Canadiana.org, non-profit provider of Canadiana online and leader of initiative to digitize Canada's heritage; successful entrepreneurial background in technology industry.

**Ann Wilson**, Associate Professor, English and Theatre Studies, Guelph; co-editor of *Modern Drama* and *Canadian Theatre Review;* organizer, 2nd International Women Playwrights Conference; leader of research project partnering with Playwrights Guild.

#### Graduate Research Assistants:

**Andrew Bretz**, PhD Candidate; specialist in early modern drama; background in pedagogy and the use of digital tools in the classroom.

Hannah McGregor, specialist in contemporary Canadian literature; Doctoral Fellow at TransCanada Institute; Graduate Fellow for EMiC.

# APPENDIX 3: RELATED KNOWLEDGE SYNTHESIS PROJECTS

Several other Knowledge Synthesis projects cover territory adjacent or related to the topics of concern to this group, and offer complementary perspectives on particular aspects of our topic. These include:

- Canada's Digital Heritage: The Nation's Historical Data in an International Context (P. Baskerville)
- Le livre universitaire numérique: pour un foyer d'expertise et une infrastructure de stature mondiale (G. Boismenu)
- Research and Innovation in the Invention and Deployment of Digital Technologies Related to Scholarly Communication and Knowledge Mobilization (R. Lorimer)
- Mobilizing User-Generated Content for Canada's Digital Content Advantage (S. Trosow)

# APPENDIX 4: BIBLIOGRAPHY

Given the scope of the topic, this bibliography is necessarily selective, with an emphasis on representing the current state of knowledge with respect to sustaining scholarship and culture in Canada, but with due attention also to flagship studies, reports and examples from the global context in which digital scholarship and creative practices are produced and circulated. The very range of resources listed here, from printed academic press monographs, online peer-reviewed journal articles, government reports, digital projects, blogs and online bibliographies, reflects the changing nature of scholarship.

A fuller public and open bibliography collected in the course of conducting this knowledge synthesis is available online at: http://www.zotero.org/groups/sustaining\_scholarship\_and\_culture. This group hopes the bibliography will be of use to others and will continue to grow to reflect new developments in this area.

"About ABC BookWorld." ABC BookWorld. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

"About DiscoveryGarden." Discovery Garden. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

- Adria, M., and D. Mitchell. "Community informatics and knowledge mobilization: New roles for communities, governments, and universities." *Prato CIRN 2008 Community Informatics Conference: ICT for Social Inclusion: What is Reality?* 2008. Print.
- Alexander, C. J. "Wiring the nation! Including first nations? Aboriginal Canadians and federal e-government initiatives." *Journal of Canadian Studies.* 35.4 (2001): 277–296. Print.

"American Council of Learned Societies." Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

- Angel, Naomi. "Canadian Truth and Reconciliation Commission « Tracing Memory." *Tracing Memory: Historical Responsibility, Truth Commissions and Re-Constructing the Past.* 27 Sept. 2010. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Arts Research Monitor. *Economic Impact of the Arts and Culture*. 2005. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Ball, C. E. "Show, not tell: The Value of New Media Scholarship." *Computers and Composition*. 21.4 (2004): 403–425. Print.
- Barbrook, Richard. "The Hi-Tech Gift Economy." First Monday. Special Issue 3 (2005): n. pag. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Beagrie, Neil. "National Digital Preservation Initiatives: An Overview of Developments in Australia, France, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom and of Related International Activity." *Council on Library and Information Resources*. Apr. 2003. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Bellinger, Meg, Ann Green, Louis E. King. *Model for Campus-Wide Content Strategy*. New Haven, CT: Office of Digital Assets and Infrastructure, 2009. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.
- Birringer, J. "Dance and Media Technologies." PAJ: A Journal of Performance and Art. 24.1 (2002): 84–93. Print.
- Bogost, Ian. "Reading Online Sucks: Reflections on Scholarly Writing on the Web." *Ian Bogost Videogame Theory, Criticism, Design.* 7 Mar. 2008. Web. 20 Sept. 2010.

- Boismenu, Gérard. *Le Livre Universitaire Numérique: Pour Un Foyer D'Expertise et Une Infrastructure de Stature Mondaile.* Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Knowledge Synthesis Report, 2010. Print.
- Borgman, Christine. *Scholarship in the Digital age: Information, Infrastructure, and the Internet.* Cambridge Mass.: MIT Press, 2007. Print.
- "BookNet Canada." Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- bpnichol.ca. "An Online Archive for bpNichol | beta." bpNichol. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Brabazon, Tara. *The University of Google: Education in the (post) information age*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2007. Print.
- Bradley, Kevin. "Defining Digital Sustainability." Library Trends 56.1 (2007): 148-163. Print.
- "Brooklyn Museum: Tag! You're it!." Web. 30 Aug. 2010.
- Brown, Deidre. "Te Ahua Hiko: Digital Cultural Heritage and Indigenous Objects, People, and Environments." *Theorizing Digital Cultural Heritage: A Critical Discourse*. Ed. Fiona Cameron & Sarah Kenderdine. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press, 2007. 77-91. Web.
- Brown, Susan, Patricia Clements, Isobel Grundy, Stan Ruecker, Jeffrey Antoniuk, and Sharon Balazs. "Published Yet Never Done: The Tension Between Projection and Completion in Digital Humanities Research." *DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly.* 3.2 (2009): n. pag. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Brydon, Diana. "Globalization and Higher Education: Implications for Postcolonial Research." *Connected Understanding, Understanding Connections.* Canadian Association for Commonwealth Language and Literature Studies. Montreal, 2010. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Butterman, Eric. "Out in the Open." EDTECH: Focus on Higher Education 2007. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare Project. "About the CASP Team: Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare." *Canadian Adaptations of Shakespeare Project.* Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- "Canadian Bookshelf—Blog." Web. 17 Oct. 2010.
- "Canadian Council of Archives." Archives Canada: Canadian Archival Information Network. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Canadian Digital Media Network (CDMN), Canadian Research Knowledge Network (CRKN), CANARIE, Compute Canada, and Council of Canadian University CIOs (CUCCIO). "Canada's Digital Environment for Research, Innovation and Education." *Canadian Digital Media Network*. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.
- "Canadiana Discovery Portal." *Canadiana.org*. 2010. Web. 29 Nov. 2010.
- Canadian Federation for the Humanities and Social Sciences. *From Invention to Innovation: Building a Globally Relevant and Competitive Knowledge Society*. Ottawa, ON: CFHSS/FSCH, 2010. Web. 29 Nov. 2010.
- Canadian Federation for the Humanities and Social Sciences Task Force on Copyright. *Responding to Bill C-32: An Act to Amend the Copyright Act.* Ottawa, ON: CFHSS/FSCH, 2010. Print.

Canadian Media Production Association (CMPA). "CFTPA Submission to the Standing Committee on Finance with Respect to the 2010 Pre-Budget Consultations." *cftpa.ca* 13 Aug. 2010. Web. 24 Nov. 2010.

Canadiana.org. Web. 16 Aug. 2010.

- Canadiana.org "Canada Online Business Case" Canadiana.org. June 2009. Web. 28 Oct. 2010.
- Cantara, Linda. "Long-Term Preservation of Digital Humanities Scholarship." OCLC Systems & Services. 22.1 (2006): 38-42. Web.
- "CARL—About CARL/ABRC." The Canadian Association of Research Libraries. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- CARL/ABRC. *Stewardship of Scholarly Resources in a Digital World*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Association of Research Libraries, 2004. Web. 8 Oct. 2010.
- Chang, Victor, Hugo Mills and Steven Newhouse. "From Open Source to Long-Term Sustainability: Review of Business Models and Case Studies." All Hands Meeting 2007, OMII-UK Workshop. 10 September—13 September, 2007, Nottingham, UK. Web.
- Charland, Maurice. "Technological Nationalism." *Canadian Journal of Political and Social Theory.* X.1-2 (1986): 196-219. Print.
- Chesbrough, Henry William. Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting From Technology. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press, 2003. Print.
- Christen, K. "Gone Digital: Aboriginal Remix and the Cultural Commons." *International Journal of Cultural Property.* 12.03 (2005): 315–345. Print.
- Cohen, Dan. "The Idols of Scholarly Publishing." *Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come*. Ed. Jerome McGann. Houston: Rice University Press, 2010. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Coombe, Rosemary, Christopher Innes and Darren Wershler-Henry. "Welcome to the Artmob Website | Artmob Policy Centre." *ArtMob*. Web. 16 Aug. 2010.
- Courant, Paul N., and Rebecca Griffiths. Software and Collaboration in Higher Education: A Study of Open Source Software. ITHAKA, 2006. Web.
- Crane, Gregory. "What Do You Do with a Million Books?" D-Lib Magazine. 12.3 (March 2006) Web. 7 Oct. 2010.
- Cunningham, S. D. "The Humanities, Creative Arts and the Innovation Agenda." *Innovation in Australian Arts, Media and Design: Fresh Challenges for the Tertiary Sector.* (2010): 221–232. Print.
- "CWRC." Canadian Writing Research Collaboratory. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Davidson, Cathy. "Understanding the Economic Burden of Scholarly Publishing—The Chronicle Review." *The Chronicle of Higher Education.* 3 Oct. 2003. Web. 8 Oct. 2010.
- Dayton-Johnson, Jeff. *What's Different About Cultural Products? An Economic Framework*. Ottawa: Strategic Research and Analysis, Canadian Heritage, 2000. Print.
- —. "The Economics of Cultural Policy in the Internet Age." Canadian Journal of Communication. 27.4 (2002): n. pag. Web. 19 Nov. 2010.

Denard, H. "Virtuality and Performativity: Recreating Rome's Theatre of Pompey." *PAJ: A Journal of Performance and Art.* 24.1 (2002): 25–43. Print.

Department of Finance, Canada. "A Plan for Growth and Prosperity." November 2005. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

"Digital Poetry Archive of Canada." Web. 2 Dec. 2010.

- "Digital Preservation (Library of Congress)." *National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program.* Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Downes, S. "Models for sustainable open educational resources." *Interdisciplinary Journal of Knowledge and Learning Objects.* 3 (2007): 29–44. Print.
- Duke, Charles B. "Creating economic value from research knowledge." *The Industrial Physicist.* 10.4 (2004): n. pag. Web. 19 Nov. 2010.
- Ede, Lisa, and Andrea A. Lunsford. "Collaboration and Concepts of Authorship." *PMLA*. 116.2 (2001): 354-369. Print.
- "Editing Modernism in Canada—About Us—Collaborators." *Editing Modernism in Canada*. 2010. Web.
- "Electronic Poetry Center Home Page." *Electronic Poetry Center.* 2010. Web. 9 Oct. 2010.
- "Electronic Literature Organization." Electronic Literature Organization (ELO). Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Fitzpatrick, Kathleen. *Planned Obsolescence: Publishing, Technology, and the Future of the Academy*. New York: NYU Press, 2009. Web. 20 Sept. 2010.
- Fletcher, F. "Community-University Partnerships: Community Engagement for Transformative Learning." Canadian Journal of University Continuing Education. 34.2 (2008): 31–45. Print.
- "The Fred Wah Digital Archive." *Fred Wah*. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- French, Amanda. "Need your help telling 'analog' humanists about fellowships." *THATCamp.* 31 Aug. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Gargouri, Yassine, Chawki Hajjem, Vincent Larivière, Yves Gingras, Les Carr, Tim Brody, Stevan Harnad, and Robert P. Futrelle. "Self-Selected or Mandated, Open Access Increases Citation Impact for Higher Quality Research." Ed. Robert P. Futrelle. *PLoS ONE* 5.10 (2010): e13636. Web.
- Geist, Michael. "Bill C-32: My Perspective on the Key Issues." Michael Geist 21 Sept. 2010. Web. 7 Oct. 2010.
- Goldman, Ron, and Richard P. Gabriel. *Innovation Happens Elsewhere: Open Source as Business Strategy*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, 2005. Print.
- Goldsmith, Kenneth. "U B U W E B :: An Open Letter to the Frameworks Community." *UbuWeb* 18 Oct. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Google. "Official Google Research Blog: Our commitment to the digital humanities." Web. 24 Aug. 2010.
- Government of Canada: Science, Technology and Innovation Council (STIC). *Canada's Science, Technology and Innovation System: State of the Nation 2008*. Ottawa, ON: Government of Canada, 2008. Print.

Guthrie, Kevin, Rebecca Griffiths, and Nancy Maron. Sustainability and Revenue Models for Online Academic Resources: An Ithaka Report. Strategic Content Alliance/Ithaka, 2008. Web.

"Half-Baked Software Inc." Web. 19 Nov. 2010.

- Hall, B. L. "Higher Education, Community Engagement, and the Public Good: Building the Future of Continuing Education in Canada." *Canadian Journal of University Continuing Education.* 35.1 (2009): 11–23. Print.
- Hall, Gary. *Digitize This Book! The Politics of New Media, or Why We Need Open Access Now*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 2008. Print.
- Hall, Stuart. "Constituting an archive." Third Text. 15.54 (2001): 89-92. Web.
- Hardt, Michael, and Antonio Negri. Commonwealth. Cambridge, Mass: Belknap Press of Harvard UP, 2009. Print.
- Hart Research Associates. *Raising The Bar: Employers' Views On College Learning In The Wake Of The Economic Downturn*. Association of American Colleges and Universities, 2010. Web.
- "HASTAC | Humanities, Arts, Science, and Technology Advanced Collaboratory." Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- HitGrab Labs. "Information" Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Healey, Mick. "Linking Research and Teaching: Exploring Disciplinary Spaces and the Role of Inquiry-Based Learning." *Reshaping the University: New Relationships Between Research, Scholarship and Teaching.* ed. Barnett, R. Maidenhead, UK: McGraw Hill/Open University Press, (2005): 67-78. Print.
- Healey, Mick, and Alan Jenkins. "Strengthening the Teaching-Research Linkage in Undergraduate Courses and Programs." *New Directions for Teaching and Learning.* 2006. 107 (2006): 43-53. Web.
- Hockey, Susan. "Is There a Computer in this Class?" Web. 20 Sept. 2010.
- House of Commons. "CHPC (40-3) Emerging and Digital Media: Opportunities and Challenges." Web. 10 Sept. 2010.
- Huvila, Isto. "Participatory archive: towards decentralised curation, radical user orientation, and broader contextualisation of records management." *Archival Science.* 8.1 (2008): 15-36. Web.
- Jankowski, Nicholas. e-Research: Transformation in Scholarly Practice. London: Routledge, 2009. Print.
- Jantz, Ronald, and Michael Giarlo. "Digital Preservation: Architecture and Technology for Trusted Digital Repositories." *D-Lib Magazine.* 11.6 (2005): n. pag. Web. 15 Nov. 2010.
- Jennings, P., E. Giaccardi, and M. Wesolkowska. "About Face Interface: Creative Engagement in the New Media Arts and HCI." *CHI '06 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2006. 1663–1666. Print.
- JISC, NEH, NSF, SSHRC. Digging into Data Challenge. 30 Nov. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Jordan, Mark. "The CARL metadata harvester and search service." Library Hi Tech. 24.2 (2006): 197-210. Print.
- Kalay, Yehuda E., Thomas Kvan, and Janice Affleck. *New Heritage: new media and cultural heritage*. London and New York: Routledge, 2008. Web. 6 Oct. 2010.

- Kaufman, Paula T., and Scott Walter. "The Library as Strategic Investment: Results of the University of Illinois 'Return on Investment' Study." Address presented at the LibraryConnect Seminar. Tokyo, Japan; Osaka, Japan; Seoul, Korea; Hong Kong; Singapore; Sydney, Australia; Melbourne, Australia; Brisbane, Australia; Auckland, New Zealand; Wellington, New Zealand; Christchurch, New Zealand; Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia; and Bangkok, Thailand, 2008. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Kirschenbaum, Matthew. "Done: Finishing Projects in the Digital Humanities." *DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly.* 3.2 (2009): n. pag. Web.
- Kretzschmar, William A. "Large-Scale Humanities Computing Projects: Snakes Eating Tails, or Every End is a New Beginning?" *DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly.* 3.2 (2009): n. pag. Web.
- "LAC Trusted Digital Repository—Digital Projects—Digital Initiatives at LAC." *Library and Archives Canada* 12 Aug. 2008. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Lanham, Richard A. *The Economics of Attention: Style and Substance in the Age of Information*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2006. Print.
- LaPierre, Laurier. "Issues." Canadian Journal of Communication. 27.4 (2002): n. pag. Web. 10 Nov. 2010.
- Larsen, Ronald L., and Howard D. Wactlar. *Knowledge Lost in Information: Report of the NSF Workshop on Research Directions for Digital Libraries*. Chatham, MA: University of Pittsburgh, 2003. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Lee, Y. S. "The Sustainability of University-Industry Research Collaboration: An Empirical Assessment." *The Journal of Technology Transfer.* 25.2 (2000): 111–133. Print.
- Lessig, Lawrence. *Free Culture: How Big Media Uses Technology to Lock Down Culture and Control Creativity*. New York: Penguin, 2004. Web.
- "The Lib-Value Project." Lib-Value. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Library and Archives Canada. LAC Trusted Digital Repository—Digital Initiatives. 2010, Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- -. Electronic Publishing: Guide to Best Practices for Canadian Publishers. Ottawa, 2001. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- "A Living Archives / Les Archives Vivante." *Living Archives*. University of Prince Edward Island. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Lorimer, Rowland et al. *Research and Innovation in the Invention and Deployment of Digital Technologies Related to Scholarly Communication and Knowledge Mobilization*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Knowledge Synthesis Report, 2010. Print.
- Maron, Nancy L., K. Kirby Smith, and Laura Brown. "Sustaining Digital Resources: An On-the-Ground View of Projects Today." *Ithaka Case Studies in Sustainability*. JISC, July 2009. Web.
- Maxwell, John, and Rowland Lorimer. "Canadian Social Science and Humanities Online Journal Publishing, the Synergies Project, and the Creation and Representation of Knowledge." Publishing Research Quarterly 22.4 (2007): 175-193. Print.

- McGann, Jerome ed. *Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come*. Houston: Rice University Press, 2010a. Web. 24 Aug. 2010.
- —. "Sustainability: The Elephant in the Room." *Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come*. Ed. Jerome McGann. Houston: Rice University Press, 2010b. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- McGovern, Nancy Y., and Aprille C. McKay. "Leveraging Short-term Opportunities to Address Long-term Obligations: A Perspective on Institutional Repositories and Digital Preservation Programs." *Library Trends* 57.2 (2008): 262-279. Web.
- McLeman, Robert. "Impacts of Population Change on Vulnerability and the Capacity to Adapt to Climate Change and Variability: A Typology Based on Lessons from 'A Hard Country'" *Population & Environment*. 31.5 (2010) Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Meahan, Lynn. "Industry Canada Site Minister Clement Updates Canadians on Canada's Digital Economy Strategy." *Industry Canada Site*. 22 Nov. 2010. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Melo, D. "Economics and Culture: Two Case Studies in Colombia." *International Symposium on Culture Statistics*. Montreal, 2002. Web. 27 July 2004.
- Menand, Louis. *The Marketplace of Ideas: Reform and Resistance in the American University*. New York: W.W. Norton, 2010. Print.
- Minister of Industry, and Industry Canada Government of Canada. "Minister Clement Updates Canadians on Canada's Digital Economy Strategy." 22 Nov. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- MLA Task Force on Evaluating Scholarship for Tenure and Promotion. *Report of the MLA Task Force on Evaluating Scholarship for Tenure and Promotion*. The Modern Language Association of America, 2007. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Moore, Ray, Stuart Jeffrey, and Julian Richards. A Review and Analysis of the Sustainability of Digital Curation and Access to Heritage Data. Europeana CARARE Project, 2010. Web. 23 Oct. 2010.
- Morrissey, Sheila. "The economy of free and open source software in the preservation of digital artefacts." *Library Hi Tech.* 28.2 (2010): 211-223. Web. 26 Oct. 2010.
- Mozilla. "Drumbeat | People and Projects Making a Better Web." Web. 6 Oct. 2010.
- Murray, Laura, and Sam Trosow. Canadian Copyright: A Citizen's Guide. Toronto: Between the Lines, 2007. Print.
- NEH Office of Digital Humanities. "Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants." *National Endowment for the Humanities*. 6 Aug. 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Nowviskie, Bethany, and Dot Porter. "The Graceful Degradation Survey: Managing Digital Humanities Projects Through Times of Transition and Decline." *Digital Humanities 2010 Abstracts.* (2010): n. pag. Print.
- Nussbaum, Martha. Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities. Princeton: Princeton UP, 2010. Print.

Orlando Project Team. "Participants." The Orlando Project. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

Perimeter Institute. "Quantum to Cosmos Festival" q2cfestival.com. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

Phipps, D. J, and S. Shapson. "Knowledge Mobilisation Builds Local Research Collaborations for Social Innovation." *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice.* 5.3 (2009): 211–227. Print.

"PREMIS: Preservation Metadata Maintenance Activity (Library of Congress)." Web. 1 Dec. 2010.

"Public Interaction Program." Trudeau Foundation/Fondation Trudeau. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.

"Public Knowledge Project." Web. 14 Sept. 2010.

- Rockwell, Geoffrey. "As Transparent as Infrastructure: On the research of cyberinfrastructure in the humanities." *Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come*. Ed. Jerome McGann. Houston: Rice University Press, 2010. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Roth, Lorna. "Snapshots and Dialogues: Canadian Ethnic Television Broadcasting and Social Cohesion." 'New' Citizens, New Policies?: Developments in Diversity Policy in Canada and Flanders. Ed. Leen d'Haenens et al. Gent: Academia Press, 2006. 171-200. Print.

"Royal Commission on Radio Broadcasting." Wikipedia. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.

- "Royal Commission on Newspapers." Wikipedia. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.
- Rumsey, Abby Smith. "Emerging Genres in Scholarly Communication." *Scholarly Communication Institute 8*. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Library, July 2010. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Russell, Ann. "Training Professionals to Preserve Digital Heritage: The School for Scanning." *Library Trends.* 56.1 (2007): 288–297. Print.
- Sandhu, G.S. et al.. Socio-Economic Impacts of Arts and Cultural Organizations in BC: Grant Applicants to the BC Arts Council. Ministry of Tourism, Sports, and Arts, BC, 2006. Print.
- Seadle, Michael. "Whose rules? Intellectual property, culture, and indigenous communities." *D-Lib magazine.* 8.3 (2002): n. pag. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- *SDS [Sustaining Digital Scholarship] Final Report.* University of Virginia: Institute for Advanced Technology in the Humanities, 2004. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Sedo, Denel Rehberg. "'Richard & Judy's Book Club' and 'Canada Reads': Readers, Books and Cultural Programming in a Digital Era." *Information, Communication & Society.* 11.2 (2008): 188-206. Web.
- Selfe, Richard, Dànielle Nicole DeVoss, and Heidi A. McKee. "Collectives, Common Worlds, and the Idea of Sustainability: An Introduction." *Technological Ecologies and Sustainability*. Ed. Dànielle Nicol DeVoss, Heidi A. McKee, & Richard Selfe. Logan: Utah State University Press, 2009a. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Selfe, Cynthia L., Gail E. Hawisher, and Patrick W. Berry. "Sustaining Scholarly Efforts: The Challenge of Digital Media." *Technological Ecologies and Sustainability*. Ed. Dànielle Nicol DeVoss, Heidi A. McKee, & Richard Selfe. Logan: Utah State University Press, 2009b. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Sewall, David. "It's For Sale, So It Must be Finished: Digital Projects in the Scholarly Publishing World." *DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly.* 3.2: n. pag. Web.

Shanks, Michael. "Digital Humanities—a vision." Web. 17 Nov. 2010.

Sidor, Nick. "Broadcasting, Royal Commission." The Canadian Encyclopedia. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.

- Siemens, Ray. "Shakespearean Apparatus: Explicit Textual Structures and the Implicit Navigation of Accumulated Knowledge." *Surfaces.* 3.106 (1999) 1-34. Print.
- Siemens, Ray, John Unsworth, and Susan Schreibman. *Companion to Digital Humanities* (Blackwell Companions to Literature and Culture). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Professional, 2004. Web. 20 Oct. 2010.
- Siemens, Ray, Cara Leitch, Analisa Blake, Kain Armstrong, John Willinsky. "It May Change My Understanding of the Field: Understanding Reading Tools for Scholars and Professional Readers." DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly. 3.4 (Fall 2009) Web. 26 Sept. 2010.
- Simons, Judy. "REF Pilot: humanities impact is evident and can be measured." *Times Higher Education.* 11 Nov. 2010. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Sinclair, S. E, and S. W Gouglas. "Theory into Practice: A Case Study of the Humanities Computing Master of Arts Programme at the University of Alberta." *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education.* 1.2 (2002): 1-18. Print.
- Singh, V. Economic contribution of culture in Canada. Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 2004. Print.
- Software Sustainability Institute. Edinburgh, UK: University of Edinburgh. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- "SPARC Canadian Author Addendum." Canadian Association of Research Libraries/Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition, 2007. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Spiro, Lisa. "Collaborative Authorship in the Humanities" *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*. Web. 21 Sept. 2010.
- Stewart, Craig A., Guy T. Almes, and Bradley C. Wheeler (eds.). *Cyberinfrastructure Software Sustainability and Reusability: Report from an NSF-funded Workshop*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University, 2010. Web.
- Stursberg, Richard. "Publications, Royal Commission on." The Canadian Encyclopedia. Web. 20 Nov. 2010.
- Sullivan, Robert. "Indigenous Cultural and Intellectual Property Rights: A Digital Library Context." *D-Lib magazine.* 8.5 (2002): n. pag. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- "Sustaining Digital Scholarship." University of Virginia Library Digital Curation Services. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.
- Tamez, Margo. "Nádasi'né' nde' isdzáné begoz'aahi' shimaa shini' gokal gową goshjaa ha'áná'idiłí texas-nakaiyé godesdzog, [Translation: Returning Lipan Apache Women's Laws, Lands, & Power in El Calaboz Ranchería, Texas-Mexico Border]." Washington State University, 2010a : n. pag. Print.
- Tamez, Margo. "Indigifem." NativeWiki. 2010b. Web. 9 Aug. 2010.
- Terranova, Tiziana. "Free Labor: Producing Culture for the Global Economy." *Social Text.* 18.2 (2000): 33-58. Print.
- "The Canadian Heritage Information Network." Web. 16 Aug. 2010.
- The Conference Board of Canada. *Valuing Culture: Measuring and Understanding Canada's Creative Economy*. Canadian Heritage, 2008. Web. 1 Dec. 2010.

- "The Lib-Value Project." *Lib-Value: Value, Outcome, and Return on Investment of Academic Libraries*. Web. 2 Dec. 2010.
- Tjieka, Liauw Toong. "Desa Informasi: The role of digital libraries in the preservation and dissemination of indigenous knowledge." *Bulletin of the American Society for Information Science and Technology.* 33.5 (2007): 37-42. Web.

"Transcribe Bentham: Transcription Desk." Bentham Project. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

- Trehub, Aaron, and Thomas C. Wilson. "Keeping it simple: the Alabama Digital Preservation Network (ADPNet)." *Library Hi Tech.* 28.2 (2010): 245-258. Web.
- "UbuWeb." Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- UN General Assembly. United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: resolution / adopted by the General Assembly. Washington: United Nations, 2007. Web. 29 Nov. 2010.
- United Nations Development Programme. Creative Economy Report 2008: The Challenge of Assessing the Creative Economy: towards Informed Policy-Making. S.L.: United Nations, 2008. Print.

"Stratford Campus." University of Waterloo. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

Unsworth, John. "What is Humanities Computing and What is Not?" Web. 12 Oct. 2010.

- Unsworth, John et al. Summit on Digital Tools for the Humanities. University of Virginia, 2005. Web. 27 Oct. 2010.
- Urrutia, Juan "Redes de personas, Internet y la lógica de la abundancia: un paseo por la nueva economía." *Ekonomiaz: Revista Vasca de Economía.* 46 (2001): 182-201.
- Vaidhyanathan, Siva. Copyrights and Copywrongs: the rise of intellectual property and how it threatens creativity. New York: NYU Press, 2001. Web. 19 Sept. 2010.
- Wah, Fred, and Susan Rudy. "The Fred Wah Digital Archive." Fred Wah. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Walters, Tyler O., and Katherine Skinner. "Economics, sustainability, and the cooperative model in digital preservation." *Library Hi Tech.* 28.2 (2010): 259-272. Web.
- Wasserman, Jerry, ed. Modern Canadian Plays. 3rd ed. 2 Vols. Vancouver: Talonbooks, 1994. Print.
- Webb, C. et al. *Guidelines for the Preservation of Digital Heritage*. Information Society Division, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2003. Print.
- "Welcome to ModernDrama.ca—website of the Canada Research Chair in Performance & Culture." *Modern Drama*. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.
- Whittall, Zoe. "Coach House Books starts selling e-books on its website." *Quillblog | Quill & Quire.* 4 Dec. 2009. Web. 17 Oct. 2010.
- Williams, P. et al. "The Role and Future of the Monograph in Arts and Humanities Research." *Aslib Proceedings*. 2009. 67–82. Print.

- Willinsky, John. "Fostering Scientific Culture Within a Public and Democratic Culture: Or What's a Social Science For?" *Teachers College Record.* 107.1 (2005): 38-51. Print.
- Willinsky, John, and Teresa Dobson. "Digital Literacy." *Cambridge Handbook on Literacy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009. Web. 14 Sept. 2010.
- Wilson, Emma et al. "Business models for sustainable development: innovation for society and environment." *IIED Briefing Papers.* (2009): 1-4. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

Zorich, Diane M. "A Survey of Digital Humanities Centers in the United States." 2008. Web. 30 Nov. 2010.

## **APPENDIX 5: LICENSE**

THE WORK (AS DEFINED BELOW) IS PROVIDED UNDER THE TERMS OF THIS CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL" OR "LICENSE"). THE WORK IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE LAW. ANY USE OF THE WORK OTHER THAN AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS LICENSE OR COPYRIGHT LAW IS PROHIBITED.

BY EXERCISING ANY RIGHTS TO THE WORK PROVIDED HERE, YOU ACCEPT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THIS LICENSE. TO THE EXTENT THIS LICENSE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE A CONTRACT, THE LICENSOR GRANTS YOU THE RIGHTS CONTAINED HERE IN CONSIDERATION OF YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

### **1. Definitions**

- a. "Adaptation" means a work based upon the Work, or upon the Work and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, adaptation, derivative work, arrangement of music or other alterations of a literary or artistic work, or phonogram or performance and includes cinematographic adaptations or any other form in which the Work may be recast, transformed, or adapted including in any form recognizably derived from the original, except that a work that constitutes a Collection will not be considered an Adaptation for the purpose of this License. For the avoidance of doubt, where the Work is a musical work, performance or phonogram, the synchronization of the Work in timed-relation with a moving image ("synching") will be considered an Adaptation for the purpose.
- b. "Collection" means a collection of literary or artistic works, such as encyclopedias and anthologies, or performances, phonograms or broadcasts, or other works or subject matter other than works listed in Section 1(f) below, which, by reason of the selection and arrangement of their contents, constitute intellectual creations, in which the Work is included in its entirety in unmodified form along with one or more other contributions, each constituting separate and independent works in themselves, which together are assembled into a collective whole. A work that constitutes a Collection will not be considered an Adaptation (as defined below) for the purposes of this License.
- c. **"Creative Commons Compatible License"** means a license that is listed at http://creativecommons.org/compatiblelicenses that has been approved by Creative Commons as being essentially equivalent to this License, including, at a minimum, because that license: (i) contains terms that have the same purpose, meaning and effect as the License Elements of this License; and, (ii) explicitly permits the relicensing of adaptations of works made available under that license under this License or a Creative Commons jurisdiction license with the same License Elements as this License.
- d. **"Distribute"** means to make available to the public the original and copies of the Work or Adaptation, as appropriate, through sale or other transfer of ownership.
- e. "License Elements" means the following high-level license attributes as selected by Licensor and indicated in the title of this License: Attribution, ShareAlike.
- f. **"Licensor"** means the individual, individuals, entity or entities that offer(s) the Work under the terms of this License.
- g. **"Original Author"** means, in the case of a literary or artistic work, the individual, individuals, entity or entities who created the Work or if no individual or entity can be identified, the publisher; and in addition (i) in the case of a performance the actors, singers, musicians, dancers, and other persons who

act, sing, deliver, declaim, play in, interpret or otherwise perform literary or artistic works or expressions of folklore; (ii) in the case of a phonogram the producer being the person or legal entity who first fixes the sounds of a performance or other sounds; and, (iii) in the case of broadcasts, the organization that transmits the broadcast.

- h. **"Work"** means the literary and/or artistic work offered under the terms of this License including without limitation any production in the literary, scientific and artistic domain, whatever may be the mode or form of its expression including digital form, such as a book, pamphlet and other writing; a lecture, address, sermon or other work of the same nature; a dramatic or dramatico-musical work; a choreographic work or entertainment in dumb show; a musical composition with or without words; a cinematographic work to which are assimilated works expressed by a process analogous to cinematography; a work of drawing, painting, architecture, sculpture, engraving or lithography; a photographic work to which are assimilated works expressed by a process analogous to photography; a work of applied art; an illustration, map, plan, sketch or three-dimensional work relative to geography, topography, architecture or science; a performance; a broadcast; a phonogram; a compilation of data to the extent it is protected as a copyrightable work; or a work performed by a variety or circus performer to the extent it is not otherwise considered a literary or artistic work.
- i. **"You"** means an individual or entity exercising rights under this License who has not previously violated the terms of this License with respect to the Work, or who has received express permission from the Licensor to exercise rights under this License despite a previous violation.
- j. **"Publicly Perform"** means to perform public recitations of the Work and to communicate to the public those public recitations, by any means or process, including by wire or wireless means or public digital performances; to make available to the public Works in such a way that members of the public may access these Works from a place and at a place individually chosen by them; to perform the Work to the public by any means or process and the communication to the public of the performances of the Work, including by public digital performance; to broadcast and rebroadcast the Work by any means including signs, sounds or images.
- k. **"Reproduce"** means to make copies of the Work by any means including without limitation by sound or visual recordings and the right of fixation and reproducing fixations of the Work, including storage of a protected performance or phonogram in digital form or other electronic medium.

**2. Fair Dealing Rights.** Nothing in this License is intended to reduce, limit, or restrict any uses free from copyright or rights arising from limitations or exceptions that are provided for in connection with the copyright protection under copyright law or other applicable laws.

**3. License Grant.** Subject to the terms and conditions of this License, Licensor hereby grants You a worldwide, royalty-free, non-exclusive, perpetual (for the duration of the applicable copyright) license to exercise the rights in the Work as stated below:

- a. to Reproduce the Work, to incorporate the Work into one or more Collections, and to Reproduce the Work as incorporated in the Collections;
- to create and Reproduce Adaptations provided that any such Adaptation, including any translation in any medium, takes reasonable steps to clearly label, demarcate or otherwise identify that changes were made to the original Work. For example, a translation could be marked "The original work was translated from English to Spanish," or a modification could indicate "The original work has been modified.";
- c. to Distribute and Publicly Perform the Work including as incorporated in Collections; and,
- d. to Distribute and Publicly Perform Adaptations.
- e. For the avoidance of doubt:

- i. **Non-waivable Compulsory License Schemes**. In those jurisdictions in which the right to collect royalties through any statutory or compulsory licensing scheme cannot be waived, the Licensor reserves the exclusive right to collect such royalties for any exercise by You of the rights granted under this License;
- ii. **Waivable Compulsory License Schemes**. In those jurisdictions in which the right to collect royalties through any statutory or compulsory licensing scheme can be waived, the Licensor waives the exclusive right to collect such royalties for any exercise by You of the rights granted under this License; and,
- iii. **Voluntary License Schemes**. The Licensor waives the right to collect royalties, whether individually or, in the event that the Licensor is a member of a collecting society that administers voluntary licensing schemes, via that society, from any exercise by You of the rights granted under this License.

The above rights may be exercised in all media and formats whether now known or hereafter devised. The above rights include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. Subject to Section 8(f), all rights not expressly granted by Licensor are hereby reserved.

**4. Restrictions.** The license granted in Section 3 above is expressly made subject to and limited by the following restrictions:

- You may Distribute or Publicly Perform the Work only under the terms of this License. You must a. include a copy of, or the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) for, this License with every copy of the Work You Distribute or Publicly Perform. You may not offer or impose any terms on the Work that restrict the terms of this License or the ability of the recipient of the Work to exercise the rights granted to that recipient under the terms of the License. You may not sublicense the Work. You must keep intact all notices that refer to this License and to the disclaimer of warranties with every copy of the Work You Distribute or Publicly Perform. When You Distribute or Publicly Perform the Work, You may not impose any effective technological measures on the Work that restrict the ability of a recipient of the Work from You to exercise the rights granted to that recipient under the terms of the License. This Section 4(a) applies to the Work as incorporated in a Collection, but this does not require the Collection apart from the Work itself to be made subject to the terms of this License. If You create a Collection, upon notice from any Licensor You must, to the extent practicable, remove from the Collection any credit as required by Section 4(c), as requested. If You create an Adaptation, upon notice from any Licensor You must, to the extent practicable, remove from the Adaptation any credit as required by Section 4(c), as requested.
- b. You may Distribute or Publicly Perform an Adaptation only under the terms of: (i) this License; (ii) a later version of this License with the same License Elements as this License; (iii) a Creative Commons jurisdiction license (either this or a later license version) that contains the same License Elements as this License (e.g., Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 US)); (iv) a Creative Commons Compatible License. If you license the Adaptation under one of the licenses mentioned in (iv), you must comply with the terms of that license. If you license the Adaptation under the terms of any of the licenses mentioned in (i), (ii) or (iii) (the "Applicable License"), you must comply with the terms of the Applicable License generally and the following provisions: (I) You must include a copy of, or the URI for, the Applicable License with every copy of each Adaptation You Distribute or Publicly Perform; (II) You may not offer or impose any terms on the Adaptation that restrict the terms of the Applicable License of the Adaptation to exercise the rights granted to that recipient under the terms of the Applicable License interve of the Adaptation to every copy of the Work as included in the Adaptation You Distribute or Publicly

Perform; (IV) when You Distribute or Publicly Perform the Adaptation, You may not impose any effective technological measures on the Adaptation that restrict the ability of a recipient of the Adaptation from You to exercise the rights granted to that recipient under the terms of the Applicable License. This Section 4(b) applies to the Adaptation as incorporated in a Collection, but this does not require the Collection apart from the Adaptation itself to be made subject to the terms of the Applicable License.

- c. If You Distribute, or Publicly Perform the Work or any Adaptations or Collections, You must, unless a request has been made pursuant to Section 4(a), keep intact all copyright notices for the Work and provide, reasonable to the medium or means You are utilizing: (i) the name of the Original Author (or pseudonym, if applicable) if supplied, and/or if the Original Author and/or Licensor designate another party or parties (e.g., a sponsor institute, publishing entity, journal) for attribution ("Attribution Parties") in Licensor's copyright notice, terms of service or by other reasonable means, the name of such party or parties; (ii) the title of the Work if supplied; (iii) to the extent reasonably practicable, the URI, if any, that Licensor specifies to be associated with the Work, unless such URI does not refer to the copyright notice or licensing information for the Work; and (iv), consistent with Ssection 3(b), in the case of an Adaptation, a credit identifying the use of the Work in the Adaptation (e.g., "French translation of the Work by Original Author," or "Screenplay based on original Work by Original Author"). The credit required by this Section 4(c) may be implemented in any reasonable manner; provided, however, that in the case of a Adaptation or Collection, at a minimum such credit will appear, if a credit for all contributing authors of the Adaptation or Collection appears, then as part of these credits and in a manner at least as prominent as the credits for the other contributing authors. For the avoidance of doubt, You may only use the credit required by this Section for the purpose of attribution in the manner set out above and, by exercising Your rights under this License, You may not implicitly or explicitly assert or imply any connection with, sponsorship or endorsement by the Original Author, Licensor and/or Attribution Parties, as appropriate, of You or Your use of the Work, without the separate, express prior written permission of the Original Author, Licensor and/or Attribution Parties.
- d. Except as otherwise agreed in writing by the Licensor or as may be otherwise permitted by applicable law, if You Reproduce, Distribute or Publicly Perform the Work either by itself or as part of any Adaptations or Collections, You must not distort, mutilate, modify or take other derogatory action in relation to the Work which would be prejudicial to the Original Author's honor or reputation. Licensor agrees that in those jurisdictions (e.g. Japan), in which any exercise of the right granted in Section 3(b) of this License (the right to make Adaptations) would be deemed to be a distortion, mutilation, modification or other derogatory action prejudicial to the Original Author's honor and reputation, the Licensor will waive or not assert, as appropriate, this Section, to the fullest extent permitted by the applicable national law, to enable You to reasonably exercise Your right under Section 3(b) of this License (right to make Adaptations) but not otherwise.

#### 5. Representations, Warranties and Disclaimer

UNLESS OTHERWISE MUTUALLY AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES IN WRITING, LICENSOR OFFERS THE WORK AS-IS AND MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND CONCERNING THE WORK, EXPRESS, IMPLIED, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, WARRANTIES OF TITLE, MERCHANTIBILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NONINFRINGEMENT, OR THE ABSENCE OF LATENT OR OTHER DEFECTS, ACCURACY, OR THE PRESENCE OF ABSENCE OF ERRORS, WHETHER OR NOT DISCOVERABLE. SOME JURISDICTIONS DO NOT ALLOW THE EXCLUSION OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES, SO SUCH EXCLUSION MAY NOT APPLY TO YOU. **6. Limitation on Liability.** EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY APPLICABLE LAW, IN NO EVENT WILL LICENSOR BE LIABLE TO YOU ON ANY LEGAL THEORY FOR ANY SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, PUNITIVE OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THIS LICENSE OR THE USE OF THE WORK, EVEN IF LICENSOR HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

### 7. Termination

- a. This License and the rights granted hereunder will terminate automatically upon any breach by You of the terms of this License. Individuals or entities who have received Adaptations or Collections from You under this License, however, will not have their licenses terminated provided such individuals or entities remain in full compliance with those licenses. Sections 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8 will survive any termination of this License.
- b. Subject to the above terms and conditions, the license granted here is perpetual (for the duration of the applicable copyright in the Work). Notwithstanding the above, Licensor reserves the right to release the Work under different license terms or to stop distributing the Work at any time; provided, however that any such election will not serve to withdraw this License (or any other license that has been, or is required to be, granted under the terms of this License), and this License will continue in full force and effect unless terminated as stated above.

#### 8. Miscellaneous

- a. Each time You Distribute or Publicly Perform the Work or a Collection, the Licensor offers to the recipient a license to the Work on the same terms and conditions as the license granted to You under this License.
- b. Each time You Distribute or Publicly Perform an Adaptation, Licensor offers to the recipient a license to the original Work on the same terms and conditions as the license granted to You under this License.
- c. If any provision of this License is invalid or unenforceable under applicable law, it shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remainder of the terms of this License, and without further action by the parties to this agreement, such provision shall be reformed to the minimum extent necessary to make such provision valid and enforceable.
- d. No term or provision of this License shall be deemed waived and no breach consented to unless such waiver or consent shall be in writing and signed by the party to be charged with such waiver or consent.
- e. This License constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the Work licensed here. There are no understandings, agreements or representations with respect to the Work not specified here. Licensor shall not be bound by any additional provisions that may appear in any communication from You. This License may not be modified without the mutual written agreement of the Licensor and You.
- f. The rights granted under, and the subject matter referenced, in this License were drafted utilizing the terminology of the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (as amended on September 28, 1979), the Rome Convention of 1961, the WIPO Copyright Treaty of 1996, the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty of 1996 and the Universal Copyright Convention (as revised on July 24, 1971). These rights and subject matter take effect in the relevant jurisdiction in which the License terms are sought to be enforced according to the corresponding provisions of the implementation of those treaty provisions in the applicable national law. If the standard suite of rights granted under applicable copyright law includes additional rights not granted under this License, such

additional rights are deemed to be included in the License; this License is not intended to restrict the license of any rights under applicable law.

A Knowledge Synthesis on the Digital Economy funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada